# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Pd(0)-Catalyzed Alkene Oxy- and Aminoalkynylation with Aliphatic Bromoacetylenes

Stefano Nicolai, Raha Sedigh-Zadeh, and Jérôme Waser\*

Laboratory of Catalysis and Organic Synthesis, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL SB ISIC LCSO, BCH 4306, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

**S** Supporting Information

[AB](#page-17-0)STRACT: [Tetrahydrofur](#page-17-0)ans and pyrrolidines are among the most important heterocycles found in bioactive compounds. Cyclization-functionalization domino reactions of alcohols or amines onto olefins constitute one of the most efficient methods to access them. In this context, oxy- and



aminoalkynylation are especially important reactions, because of the numerous transformations possible with the triple bond of acetylenes, yet these methods have been limited to the use of silyl protected acetylenes. Herein, we report the first palladiumcatalyzed oxy- and aminoalkynylation using aliphatic bromoalkynes, which proceeded with high diastereoselectivity and functional group tolerance. A one-pot hydrogenation of the triple bond gave then access to alkyl-substituted tetrahydrofurans and pyrroldines. Finally, a detailed study of the side products formed during the reaction gave a first insight into the reaction mechanism.

# ■ INTRODUCTION

Saturated heterocycles are essential substructures in a large variety of natural and synthetic bioactive compounds. Tetrahydrofurans and pyrrolidines substituted with functionalized aliphatic chains on positions  $C_2$  and/or  $C_5$  are of particular interest due to their presence in natural products exhibiting significant biological and pharmacological activity. Eminent examples include trans-2,5-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans in antitumoral annonaceous acetogenins, such as gigantecin  $(1)^1$  or cis-2,5-disubstituted pyrrolidines in antiviral broussonetines, such as broussonetine  $G (2)^2$  or in the antifungal pre[us](#page-17-0)sin  $(3)$  (Figure 1).<sup>3</sup>



Figure 1. Examples of biologically active natural products containing 2,5-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans and pyrrolidines.

One important approach for the synthesis of functionalized tetrahydrofurans and pyrrolidines relies on the Pd-catalyzed cyclization of an oxygen or nitrogen nucleophile onto a tethered alkene followed by further C−O, C−C or C−N bond formation.4−<sup>6</sup> When considering the large variety of available subsequent transformations for the  $C\equiv C$  triple bond, the formation [of](#page-17-0) a  $sp^3$ –sp bond in this process would be highly

desirable. This transformation requires the use of an electrophilic acetylene source. Recent years produced impressive progress in the field of electrophilic alkynylation of aromatic and aliphatic C−H bonds using Pd catalysis.<sup>7</sup> In these processes, acetylenes bearing bulky silyl groups have been used most often, followed by aryl acetylenes. O[n](#page-17-0) the other hand, the use of aliphatic acetylenes has been much more limited. Several reasons concur to make this class of substrates more challenging: the different electronic properties change the kinetic of key catalytic steps, especially reductive elimination; the triple bond is more accessible for direct reaction with the metal catalyst; and finally, the presence of hydrogen or functional groups at the propargylic position open the way for isomerization side reactions.

In 2011, we reported the first example of intramolecular Pd(0)-catalyzed oxy- and aminoalkynylation of  $\gamma$ -hydroxy alkenes using electrophilic bromoacetylenes (Scheme 1).<sup>8</sup> These transformations were complementary to the oxy- and aminoalkynylation methods we previously developed for th[e](#page-17-0) synthesis of propargyl lactones and lactams under Pd(II)/





Received: February 5, 2013 Published: March 19, 2013

Pd(IV)-catalysis using the hypervalent iodine reagent TIPS-EBX.<sup>9</sup> Nevertheless, both methods were limited to the use of silylated alkynyl bromides as electrophiles. This limitation mak[es](#page-17-0) the method less attractive for the convergent synthesis of alkyl-substituted tetrahydrofurans and pyrrolidines after reduction of the triple bond. In principle, similar products could be accessed also via an olefin heterovinylation or heteroalkylation approach. However, the former has been realized only with unfunctionalized aliphatic substituents on the alkene so  $far$ ,  $6i$ ,  $k$ , I and the latter is an unknown process.

Herein, we would like to report the first successful [Pd](#page-17-0)catalyzed oxy- and aminoalkynylation of aliphatic bromo acetylenes (Scheme 1). The method was successfully applied to both alcohols and N-Boc protected amines with high diastereoselectivity. [Fo](#page-0-0)r the first time, we were able to use bromoacetylenes bearing functionalized aliphatic chains. The obtained alkynes can be easily reduced to the alkyl chains in a practical one-pot process. Finally, a detailed study of the side products formed during oxyalkynylation allowed us to gain a first insight into the reaction mechanism.

### ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Commercially available 4-penten-1-ol (5a) was initially selected as the model substrate to investigate the oxyalkynylation with aliphatic bromo acetylenes. A complex mixture had been observed when phenylethyl ethynyl bromide had been used as the alkynylating reagent.<sup>8</sup> In order to eliminate any potential negative influence of the phenyl group in close proximity to the triple bond, 1-bromooct[yn](#page-17-0)e (6a) was examined in the reaction. Indeed, the desired 2-nonynyl tetrahydrofuran 7aa could be obtained in 44% yield using  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> as palladium source and bis(2-diphenylphosphinophenyl)ether (DPEPHos) as ligand (Table 1, entry 1). Starting from this lead result, the influence

Table 1. Screening of Pd Catalysts and Alkynyl Reagents

OН		catalyst (4 mol %) ligand (8 mol %)		
5a	6	$C_5H_{11}$ NaOtBu Toluene, 80 °C		$C_5H_{11}$ 7aa
entry	X	catalyst	ligand	yield <sup><i>a</i></sup> (%)
1	Br(6a)	$Pd_2(dba)_3$	<b>DPEPHos</b>	44
$\mathfrak{2}$	Br(6a)	$PdCl2(PPh3)2$	<b>DPEPH<sub>os</sub></b>	31
3	Br(6a)	Pd(OAc)	<b>DPEPHos</b>	30
$\overline{4}$	Br(6a)	NHC-Pd $(8)^b$	<b>DPEPHos</b>	56
5	Br(6a)	NHC-Pd $(8)^b$		$\mathbf{0}$
6	Br(6a)	$\lceil Pd(allyl)(cod)\rceil BF_4\rceil$	<b>DPEPHos</b>	50
7	Br(6a)	$\lceil Pd(cinnamyl)Cp \rceil$	<b>DPEPHos</b>	50
8	Br(6a)	$Pd(dba)$ ,	<b>DPEPhos</b>	51
9	Br(6a)	$\lceil P d (allyl) (cod) \rceil BF_4 / dba$	<b>DPEPHos</b>	47
10	Br(6a)	Pd(dba)	<b>DPEPHos</b>	38 <sup>c</sup>
11	Br(6a)	$Pd(dba)$ ,	XantPhos	29
12	Br(6a)	$Pd(dba)$ ,	dppf	16
13	Br(6a)	Pd(dba)	SegPhos	$\mathbf{0}$
14	Br(6a)	Pd(dba) <sub>2</sub>	<b>BINAP</b>	$\mathbf{0}$
15	Cl (6b)	$Pd(dba)$ ,	<b>DPEPHos</b>	traces
16	I(6c)	Pd(dba)	<b>DPEPHos</b>	16

a Reaction conditions: 0.15 mmol 5a, 0.006 mmol catalyst (based on Pd content, 4 mol %), 0.012 mmol DPEPHos (8 mol %), 0.225 mmol 1-halooct-1-yne 6 (1.5 equiv), 0.225 mmol NaOtBu (1.5 equiv), 0.8 mL of toluene, 3 h, 80 °C. Yield was determined by GC−MS. <sup>b</sup>  $^{b}$ (NHC)Pd(allyl)Cl (8) = Allyl[1,3-bis(mesityl)imidazol-2-ylidene] palladium chloride. <sup>c</sup>0.0060 mmol DPEPHos (4 mol %).

of the palladium source was further evaluated. The use of  $PdCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (entry 2) or  $Pd(OAc)<sub>2</sub>$  (entry 3) as precatalysts led to lower yields. A significant improvement was observed with N-heterocyclic carbene Pd-complex 8, which gave the product in 56% yield in the presence of DPEPHos (entry 4). However, no conversion could be observed when the same Pd complex was used in the absence of DPEPHos (entry 5), suggesting that the carbene ligand had no influence on the reaction. Similar yields were obtained when the reaction was performed with complexes bearing only weakly coordinated ligands, such as  $[Pd(allyl)(cod)](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  (entry 6). [Pd-(cinnamyl)Cp)], a reported clean source of  $Pd^{0}$  for catalysis,<sup>10</sup> led to the same result obtained with  $[\text{Pd(allyl)(cod)}](BF_4)_{2}$ (entry 7). The use of  $Pd(dba)$ <sub>2</sub> also resulted in a slig[ht](#page-17-0) improvement of the yield when compared to  $Pd_2(dba)$ <sub>3</sub> (entry 8). This result raises the question whether enone ligands have a favorable effect on the reaction. However, when dba was added to  $\lbrack Pd(\text{allyl})(\text{cod}) \rbrack (\text{BF}_4)_{2}$ , no increase in yield was observed (entry 9). The use of other enone ligands led to a decrease in yield.<sup>11</sup> Overall, this series of experiments demonstrated that the source of Pd had only a limited influence on the reaction outc[om](#page-17-0)e. At this point,  $Pd(dba)$ <sub>2</sub> was identified as the best compromise between price and efficiency for the screening of reaction conditions.

We decided to focus our attention next on the screening of different diphosphines as ligands. DPEPHos was optimal for the cyclization reaction with octynyl bromide 6a. An excess of this ligand was important to prevent a drop of the yield (entry 10). Other ligands were much less efficient than DPEPHos. Low yields were obtained with XantPhos and dppf (entries 11 and 12). While the former has similar electronic properties as DPEPHos, the latter displays a similar bite angle, but lacks the oxygen atom, which is a potential further weak coordination site. The bite angle of the chelating ligand is known to be a crucial parameter to favor reductive elimination over β-hydride elimination.<sup>12</sup> In fact, no product was obtained with ligands having a smaller bite angle, such as SegPhos or BINAP (entries 13 and 14[\).](#page-17-0) The efficiency of different alkynyl halides was investigated next. Both octynyl chloride  $(6b)$  and iodide  $(6c)$ exhibited low reactivity and gave the desired product in low yields only (entries 15 and 16).

The choice of the base also had a strong influence on the outcome of the reaction. The use of NaOtBu was essential for success. Both KOtBu and LiOtBu gave the cyclized product only in traces. A modest yield (24%) was obtained with NaHMDS, which further supported the importance of sodium as countercation. Moreover, a base strong enough to significantly deprotonate the alcohol was required, and no reactivity was observed with weaker bases such as sodium carbonate or sodium acetate. While no significant effect was observed in the presence of different additives, $^{13}$  the reaction temperature was found to be important: running the reaction at lower temperature (50 °C) led to a signi[fi](#page-17-0)cantly slower transformation, with low conversion of octynyl bromide (6a). By contrast, the reaction reached 45% yield after just one hour at higher temperature (110 $\degree$ C), but without further improvement after a longer reaction time.

We concluded our studies on the optimization of the reaction with solvents and concentration effects (Table 2). The use of nonaromatic solvents resulted in very low yield (entries 1−5). Moderate yields were obtained with solvents si[m](#page-2-0)ilar to toluene, such as trifluorotoluene (entry 6) or xylene (entry 7). Finally, the reaction concentration had a strong effect on the

<span id="page-2-0"></span>Table 2. Influence of Solvents and Concentration

OH Br-		$Pd(dba)$ <sub>2</sub> (4 mol %) DPE-Phos (8 mol %)	
5a	$C_5H_{11}$ 6a	NaOtBu Solvent, 80 °C	$C_5H_{11}$ 7aa
entry	solvent	concentration (M)	yield <sup><math>a</math></sup> (%)
$\mathbf{1}$	THF	0.19	8
$\overline{2}$	Dioxane	0.19	nr
3	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	0.19	nr
4	<b>DMSO</b>	0.19	nr
5	<b>DCE</b>	0.19	nr
6	CF <sub>3</sub> Ph	0.19	32
7	Xylene	0.19	42
8	Toluene	0.50	35
9	Toluene	0.19	51
10	Toluene	0.075	65
11	Toluene	0.019	62
12	Toluene	0.075	$69^b$

<sup>a</sup>Reaction conditions: 0.15 mmol **5a**, 0.006 mmol catalyst  $(4 \text{ mol } \%)$ , 0.012 mmol DPEPHos (8 mol %), 0.225 mmol 6a (1.5 equiv), 0.225 mmol NaOtBu (1.5 equiv), solvent, 3 h, 80 °C. Yield was determined by GC−MS.  $\frac{b}{b}$  0.40 mmol 5a, 0.52 mmol 1-bromooctyne (6a) (1.3 equiv), 0.52 mmol NaOtBu (1.3 equiv), 0.020 mmol catalyst (5 mol %), 0.030 mmol DPEPHos (7.5 mol %), solvent, 3 h, 80 °C, isolated yield after column chromatography.

reaction outcome. The yield dropped to 35% or less when the reaction was performed at higher concentration (entry 8) than the usual reaction (entry 9). On the contrary, a significant improvement up to 65% was observed under more diluted conditions (0.075 M) (entry 10). Further dilution did not lead to higher yields (entry 11). Under the optimized conditions, tetrahydrofuran 7aa could finally be isolated in 69% yield on preparative scale (entry  $12$ ).<sup>14</sup>

With optimized conditions in hand, we then examined the scope of the reaction. We s[tar](#page-18-0)ted with variation of the bromo alkyne 6 (Scheme 2). The required aliphatic bromo acetylenes were readily prepared by bromination of terminal alkynes with a slight excess of NBS, in the presence of catalytic AgNO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>15</sup> In addition to octynyl bromide 6a, the reaction worked well with

# Scheme 2. Scope of the Oxyalkynylation of γ-Hydroxyalkenes with Aliphatic Alkynyl Bromides<sup>a</sup>



a Reaction conditions: 0.40 mmol alcohol 5, 0.52 mmol alkynyl bromide 6 (1.3 equiv), 0.52 mmol NaOtBu (1.3 equiv), 0.020 mmol  $Pd(dba)$ <sub>2</sub> (5 mol %), 0.030 mmol DPEPHos (7.5 mol %), 4.6 mL of toluene, 80 °C, under N<sub>2</sub> for 3 h, isolated yield after column chromatography.  $b_{0.040}$  mmol Pd(dba)<sub>2</sub> (10 mol %), 0.060 mmol DPEPHos (15 mol %), 15 h.

pentynyl bromides bearing different functional groups in the terminal position, giving products bearing a silyl-protected alcohol (7ad, 67% yield), a phenyl group (7ae, 64% yield), a chloride group (7af, 56%) or a protected alkyne (7ag, 59%). The tolerance to a halogen atom is particularly interesting, as a Williamson etherification could have been expected in this case. For the first time, bromo acetylenes 6h−k derived from propargylic alcohols could be used in the oxyalkynylation reaction to produce products 7ah−7ak. This result was of particular interest when considering the prevalence of hydroxy substituents in bioactive natural products and also constituted a major breakthrough in the field, as propargylic or allylic alcohols had never been obtained in the oxyfunctionalization of alkenes before. Both benzyl and triisopropyl silyl protected groups could be used in the reaction to give tertiary (products 7ah and 7ai) and secondary (products 7aj and 7ak) propargylic alcohols in 63−85% yield. We then decided to examine secondary alcohols 5b and 5c as substrates.<sup>16</sup> The reaction is especially interesting in this case because the resulting 2,5 disubstituted tetrahydrofurans are often en[co](#page-18-0)untered in biologically active natural compounds (Figure 1). 2-Phenylpentenol (5b) smoothly reacted with tertiary alkynyl bromide 6h to deliver trans-substituted tetrahydrofuran 7[bh](#page-0-0) as the only diastereoisomer in 72% yield. Alcohol 5c, bearing a propargyl group on the carbinol position, was less reactive, and complete conversion could not be achieved. Nonetheless, the oxyalkynylation with octynylbromide 6a resulted in the formation of the C2-symmetric product 7ca, in 55% isolated yield and a 87:13 trans selectivity.

The reaction of aliphatic alkynyl bromides with N-protected  $\gamma$ -amino alkenes was then investigated (Scheme 3).<sup>17</sup> Amines at primary position gave the corresponding 2-propargyl pyrrolidines in 60−77% yields. In addition to octy[ny](#page-3-0)l [br](#page-18-0)omide 6a (product 10aa), the reaction worked well with both bromoacetylenes bearing a protected alcohol or a phenyl group on the terminal position (products 10ad and 10ae) and bromo derivatives of tertiary and secondary propargylic alcohols (products 10ah and 10aj). Amines on a secondary position gave full conversion and high diastereoselectivity in favor of the *cis-2,5-disubstituted* pyrrolidines. The transformation was compatible with both aromatic (product 10ba) and aliphatic substituents (product 10ca) on the secondary position. The presence of a double bond in the lateral chain was well tolerated, and compound 10da was isolated in 60% yield. Polycyclic nitrogen heterocycles constitute the core of a multitude of bioactive natural alkaloids. The potential of the method to access polycyclic systems were consequently examined in more detail. cis-2-Allyl cyclopentyl, cyclohexyl and cycloheptenyl amines 9e−g were good substrates for aminoalkynylation and give access to  $[5,5]$ ,  $[5,6]$  and  $[5,7]$ bicyclic heterocycles (products 10ea, 10fa, 10fl and 10ga). Aminoalkynylation with brominated alkynyl bromide 6l also worked well to produce amine 10fl, without alkylation of the carbamate. The aminoalkynylation was also highly effective in the case of N-Boc protected 2-allyl aniline (9h), showcasing the possibility of applying our method for the preparation of propargylic indoline heterocycles such as 10ha. Finally, the reaction was not limited to N-Boc-protected amines: under the same conditions, N-aryl substituted pyrrolidines 10ia and 10ja could be obtained in 79 and 59% yield respectively.

Having effectively developed an extension of our method to aliphatic acetylenes, we turned to the reduction of the triple bond to obtain the alkyl chain contained in most natural

<span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 3. Scope of the Oxyalkynylation Reaction with N-Boc γ-Aminoxyalkenes<sup>a</sup>



a Reaction conditions: 0.40 mmol amine 9, 0.52 mmol alkynyl bromide 6 (1.3 equiv), 0.52 mmol NaOtBu (1.3 equiv), 0.020 mmol Pd(dba), (5 mol %), 0.030 mmol DPEPHos (7.5 mol %), 4.6 mL of toluene, 80  $^{\circ}$ C, under N<sub>2</sub> for 3 h.

products. In particular, a one-pot transformation without isolation and purification of the alkyne would provide very efficient access to this class of compounds, especially when considering that the oxy- or aminoalkylation of olefins is still an unknown process. A simple switch of solvent to methanol, addition of Pd/C (5 mol %) and hydrogenation under a  $H_2$ atmosphere resulted in the smooth and complete in situ reduction to compounds 11aa and 12aa within 12 h (Scheme  $(4)$ .<sup>18</sup> In addition, the reaction worked by simply adding

Sc[he](#page-18-0)me 4. Oxy- and Aminoalkynylation Followed by in Situ Hydrogenation with Pd/C



methanol and Pd/C to the reaction mixture, but it was much slower (more than 48 h). Therefore, the removal of toluene prior to the addition of methanol, although not strictly required, was more convenient.

The developed methodology is of high interest because it allows the convergent combination of alcohols or amines and alkynyl bromides of similar complexity, without using a large excess of one of the two partners. In order to gain deeper insight into the reaction mechanism for future improvements, we decided to study the especially challenging coupling of secondary alcohol 5b with propargylic ether 6h (Scheme 5). On a 1.85 mmol scale, tetrahydrofuran 7bh could be isolated in





55% yield. Three further products originating from alcohol 5b could also be isolated: recovered 5b itself (13% yield), ketone 13 (4% yield) and hydroxy ketone 14 (12% yield). In addition, two products derived from alkynyl bromide 6h were obtained: reduced alkyne 15 (5% yield) and dimer 16 (36% yield).

The high number of isolated side products further emphasizes the challenging nature of the oxyalkynylation process. On the other hand, it also constitutes a fascinating footprint for the potential intermediates of the catalytic cycle (Scheme 6). A probable first step would be oxidative addition

Scheme 6. Working Model for the Reaction Mechanism of the Oxyalkynylation Reaction



of a  $Pd(0)$  complex I on alkynyl bromide 6h to give alkynyl-Pd intermediate II. This kind of organometallic intermediate can potentially undergo ligand exchange to form a bis-alkynyl complex, and subsequent reductive elimination would give the observed diyne 16.<sup>19</sup> In fact, preventing the homocoupling of the alkynyl bromide is one of the major challenges of the oxyalkynylation pr[oce](#page-18-0)ss. Ligand exchange on Pd followed by intramolecular oxypalladation on III to give intermediate IV would be in agreement with the excellent trans diastereoselectivity observed, if the two substituents are in pseudoequatorial position in the transition state V. It allows also rationalizing the cis selectivity observed for pyrrolidines, as in this case a strong  $A^{1,3}$  interaction with the Boc group would



Figure 2. Influence of reagent stoichiometry on the formation of tetrahydrofuran 7bh.

make a pseudoaxial position of the adjacent substituent more favorable.<sup>20</sup> Furthermore, a base strong enough to form the alkoxide to allow ligand exchange would be required for this step, as i[t h](#page-18-0)as been observed during the optimization of the reaction. However, if oxypalladation is too slow,  $\beta$ -hydride elimination can occur on intermediate III, leading to the formation of the observed ketone 13 and alkyne 15. Finally, the last step of the catalytic cycle is reductive elimination to give oxyalkynylation product 7bh and regenerate the active  $Pd(0)$ catalyst I. Again, β-hydride elimination is possible on intermediate IV, which would lead to the formation of enol ether 17. Although 17 was not isolated from the reaction mixture, hydroxy ketone 14 could reasonably have been formed during aqueous workup or on silica gel during column chromatography.<sup>21</sup> The formation of 14 is also in agreement with insertion of the olefin into the Pd−O bond from III to form intermedia[te](#page-18-0) IV. An alternative mechanism involving first insertion into the Pd-alkynyl bond does not allow rationalizing the formation of 14.

When considering the complexity of the reaction, the required reaction temperature, the short reaction time and the high sensitivity to air, obtaining a precise kinetic profile for the reaction constitutes a formidable challenge. Nevertheless, interesting preliminary results were already obtained by studying the effect of reagents stoichiometry on the rate of formation of oxyalkynylation product 7bh (Figure 2). Although the many side reactions do not allow a better understanding of the reaction mechanism, $22$  important practical conclusions could already be drawn from these experiments: (1) Increasing the excess of alkynyl br[om](#page-18-0)ide only slightly accelerates the reaction and does not lead to a significant increase in yield. Furthermore, the alkynyl bromide was not completely consumed in the case the reaction had stopped before completion. (2) In the presence of a large excess of base, product formation became much slower. As no extensive decomposition of starting material 5b and 6h was observed, this outcome is probably due to catalyst deactivation. (3) The

reaction is accelerated when the catalyst loading is increased and, more importantly, higher yields of product can be obtained, as for example in the case of the oxyalkynylation of propargylic alcohol 5c (Scheme 2, yield with 5 mol % catalyst: 21% yield, with 10 mol % catalyst 55%). Taken together, these results indicate that catalyst dea[cti](#page-2-0)vation is a major issue in the developed process and increasing catalyst stability will be important in the future.

# ■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have described the first examples of intramolecular oxy- and aminoalkynylation of alkenes using aliphatic bromoacetylenes. The successful use of functionalized acetylenes in particular was unprecedented for the functionalization of olefins and led to a highly convergent synthesis of important tetrahydrofuran and pyrrolidine building blocks for organic synthesis. The obtained products can be easily hydrogenated in a one-pot transformation to give alkylsubstituted furans and pyrrolidines. A careful study of the side products formed during the reaction finally led to a first speculative model for the mechanism of the oxyalkynylation process.

## **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

#### 1-Phenylpent-4-en-1-ol (5b).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>16a</sup> a solution of 4-bromobutene (18) (2.30 mL, 22.8 mmol, 1.15 equiv) in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (9 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of Mg turnings [\(9](#page-18-0)72 mg, 40.0 mmol, 2.05 equiv) in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (18 mL) at rt. The mixture was then stirred at rt for 1 h and further refluxed for 1 h. A solution of benzaldehyde (2.00 mL, 20.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in  $Et_2O$  (9 mL) was then added dropwise, and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h. It was then poured onto ice (ca. 10 g) and treated by dropwise addition of HCl (aqueous solution 2.0 M).

The two layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 20$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with aqueous  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (saturated solution, 20 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane/EtOAc 95/ 5) afforded benzyl alcohol 5b as a colorless oil (1.96 g, 12.1 mmol, 60% yield): R<sub>f</sub> 0.30 (Hexane/EtOAc 20/3); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.38–7.33 (m, 3 H), 7.29 (m, 2 H), 5.85 (ddt, 1 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.6 Hz), 5.05 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 17.1$ , 3.4, 1.6 Hz), 4.99 (ddd,  $J =$ 10.1, 3.1, 1.2 Hz), 4.70 (ddd, 1 H, J = 7.9, 5.5, 3.4 Hz), 2.15 (m, 2 H), 1.97−1.75 (m, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 144.6, 138.1, 128.5, 127.6, 125.9, 114.9, 74.0, 38.0, 30.0; IR 3359 (br, w), 2932 (w), 1721 (w), 1721 (w), 1642 (w), 1603 (w), 1591 (w), 1493 (w), 1453 (w), 1416 (w), 1416 (w), 1400 (w), 1305 (w), 1270 (w), 1239 (w), 1239 (w), 1200 (w), 1200 (w), 1175 (w), 1104 (w), 1061 (m), 1023 (m), 1013 (m), 913 (m), 760 (m), 701 (s), 675 (m), 668 (m), 667 (m), 650 (m), 638 (m), 629 (w), 616 (w), 606 (w). The values for the characterization of 5b correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>16a</sup>

Tetradec-1-en-7-yn-5-ol (5c).

 $n$ BuLi then  $BF_3$ •OEt<sub>2</sub> OH 20 THE -78 °C 19  $5c$ 

Following a reported procedure,  $16b$  1-octyne (19) (1.94 mL, 13.0) mmol, 1.3 equiv) was dissolved in THF (20 mL), and nBuLi (2.5 M in hexane, 4.8 mL, 12 mmol, 1.2 [equ](#page-18-0)iv) was added at −78 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred for 15 min at this temperature and then warmed to 0 °C and stirred for 1 h. A solution of epoxide 20 (1.13 mL, 10.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (5 mL) was added with stirring at −78 °C, followed by  $BF_3$ ·Et<sub>2</sub>O (freshly distilled on CaH<sub>2</sub>, 1.52 mL, 12.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv). After 7 h, the reaction was quenched with aqueous NH4Cl (saturated solution, 30 mL). Upon warming to rt, the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 20$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ , and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ Pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 10/1) afforded secondary alcohol  $5c$  as a colorless oil (1.07 g, 5.13 mmol, 51% yield):  $R_f$  0.56 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.83 (ddt, 1 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.6 Hz), 5.05 (dq, 1 H,  $J = 17.1$ , 1.5 Hz), 4.97 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 10.0$ , 2.5, 1.5 Hz), 3.71 (dddd, 1 H, J = 11.6, 6.5, 5.1, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.41 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 4.7, 2.3, 2.3 Hz), 2.29 (dddd, J = 16.1, 6.5, 2.0, 2.0 Hz), 2.25− 2.09 (m, 2 H), 2.17 (tt, 2 H, J = 7.0, 2.3 Hz), 1.95 (d, 1 H, J = 5.0 Hz), 1.62 (dt, J = 8.0, 6.5 Hz), 1.49 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.42−1.33 (m, 2 H), 1.33–1.23 (m, 4 H), 0.89 (t, 3 H,  $J = 6.8$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.2, 114.8, 75.8, 69.6, 65.8, 35.3, 31.3, 29.9, 28.9, 28.5, 27.8, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 3374 (w), 3080 (w), 2956 (m), 2931 (s), 2872 (w), 2858 (m), 1642 (w), 1467 (w), 1466 (w), 1455 (w), 1436 (w), 1418 (w), 1331 (w), 1120 (w), 1119 (w), 1081 (w), 1080 (w), 1062 (w), 1061 (w), 1026 (w), 994 (w), 912 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{14}H_{25}O^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 209.1900, found 209.1903.

tert-Butyl pent-4-enylcarbamate (9a).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>17f</sup> a suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (1.72 g, 45.1 mmol, 1.7 equiv) in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (45 mL) was slowly added to a solution of 4-pentenamide  $(21)$   $(2.65 \text{ g}, 26.5 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ equiv})$  $(2.65 \text{ g}, 26.5 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ equiv})$  $(2.65 \text{ g}, 26.5 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ equiv})$  in Et<sub>2</sub>O  $(26$ mL) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight and then diluted with  $Et_2O$  (300 mL). Aqueous NaOH (10.0 M) was cautiously added dropwise, until complete precipitation of the insoluble materials. After filtration, the solids were washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ULTRA 95/5$  to 50/50) afforded 4-pentenamine (22) as a colorless oil (0.907 g, 10.5 mmol, 40% yield). An improved yield was obtained when HCl in MeOH (1.25 M, ca. 9 mL) was added

under stirring to the Etheral solution of the amine. In this case, removal of the solvent in vacuo afforded the 4-pentenamine hydrochloride (23) as a colorless solid (930 mg, 7.64 mmol, 81% yield).

Following a slightly modified version of a reported procedure,<sup>17d</sup> Et<sub>3</sub>N (3.25 mL, 23.4 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added to a solution of Boc<sub>2</sub>O (2.55 g, 11.7 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (16 mL), and [the](#page-18-0) mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 5 min. 4-Pentenamine  $(23)$   $(0.907 g,$ 10.6 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in  $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$  (16 mL) was then added at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The solution was then washed with aqueous citric acid (0.1 M, 20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  20 mL); the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (saturated solution, 20 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ Pentane/EtOAc 95/5 to 80/20) afforded protected pentenamine 9a as a pale yellow oil (1.73 g, 9.33 mmol, 88% yield):  $R_f$  0.32 (Hexane/ EtOAc 20/2); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.80 (ddt, 1 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 Hz), 5.03 (dq, 1 H,  $J = 17.1$ , 1.7 Hz), 4.97 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 11.3$ , 2.0, 1.1 Hz), 4.52 (m, 1 H), 3.13 (q, 2 H, J = 6.6 Hz), 2.08 (m, 2 H), 1.58 (quint, 1 H,  $J = 7.4$  Hz), 1.44 (s, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 155.9, 137.7, 114.9, 78.9, 40.0, 30.9, 29.1, 28.3; IR 3349 (w), 2978 (m), 2933 (m), 2870 (w), 1692 (s), 1643 (w), 1525 (m), 1453 (w), 1392 (w), 1367 (m), 1271 (m), 1252 (m), 1173 (s), 1044 (w), 995 (w), 977 (w), 913 (m), 874 (w), 782 (w), 666 (w), 638 (w). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR values for the characterization for 9a correspond to the ones reported in literature.17d



Following a reported procedure,  $17e$  a solution of 4-bromobutene (18) (1.6 mL, 16 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (18 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of Mg turnings (3[97 m](#page-18-0)g, 16.3 mmol, 1.02 equiv) in THF (2 mL) at rt; the resulting mixture was then stirred at rt for 1 h. CuI (152 mg, 0.798 mmol, 0.05 equiv) was added to a solution of benzoyl chloride (1.9 mL, 16 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (17 mL) at  $-15$  °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred at the same temperature for 10 min. The Grignard reagent previously prepared was then added dropwise over 1 h at −15 °C. The mixture was stirred at −15 °C for additionally 2 h and then allowed to warm to rt. THF was removed by distillation under reduced pressure, and the residue was treated with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) and aqueous HCl (1.0 M, 20 mL). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$ 30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (saturated solution), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , Pentane/EtOAc 95/5) afforded ketone 24 as a pale yellow oil (2.44 g, 15.2 mmol, 95% yield).

Ketone 24 (2.30 g, 14.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in MeOH (43 mL). Ammonium acetate (18.0 g, 233 mmol, 16.3 equiv), NaBH3CN (1.53 g, 24.4 mmol, 1.7 equiv) and activated molecular sieves 4 Å were added, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. The reaction was then quenched by dropwise addition of aqueous HCl (37% w/v, ca. 20 mL) until pH 2. The organic solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue diluted with water (15 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 35$  mL) and treated by addition of solid KOH until pH 12. It was then extracted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (4  $\times$  25 mL), the combined organic layers were dried over KOH, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford secondary amine 25 as a colorless oil (1.44 g, 8.95 mmol 62% yield), which was not further purified.

Secondary amine 25 (0.564 g, 3.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (10.5 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.1 mL, 7.7 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added, and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Boc<sub>2</sub>O (0.840 g, 3.85 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added in two portions, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The solution was then washed with aqueous citric acid (0.1 M, 10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  12 mL); the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous

NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (saturated solution, 12 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 98/2 to 95/5)$  afforded protected amine 9b as a colorless solid (0.815 g, 3.11 mmol, 89% yield):  $R_f$  0.51 (Pentane/EtOAc 20/3); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33 (m, 2 H), 7.25 (m, 3 H), 5.81 (ddt, 1 H, J = 16.8, 10.2, 6.5 Hz), 5.01 (ddd, J = 16.1, 3.5, 2.0 Hz), 4.99−4.96 (m, 1 H), 4.78 (m, 1 H), 4.64 (m, 1 H), 2.09 (m, 2 H), 1.78 (m, 2 H), 1.42 (s, 9 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 155.2, 142.7, 137.6, 128.5, 127.2, 126.3, 115.2, 79.3, 55.1, 36.0, 30.4, 28.4; IR 3382 (w), 3078 (w), 3064 (w), 3030 (w), 3004 (w), 2978 (w), 2933 (w), 2360 (w), 2342 (w), 1685 (s), 1643 (w), 1519 (s), 1452 (w), 1414 (w), 1391 (w), 1365 (m), 1321 (w), 1297 (m), 1254 (m), 1213 (w), 1175 (s), 1122 (w), 1047 (m), 1026 (w), 1018 (w), 1000 (w), 912 (m), 868 (w), 779 (w), 765 (m), 752 (m), 703 (s), 686 (w), 670 (w), 660 (w), 648 (w), 632 (w), 613 (w); mp 81.9−84.4 °C. The values for the characterization for 9b correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>23</sup>

tert-Butyl undec-1-en-5-ylcarbamate (9c).



Following a reported procedure,  $17\$ g 4-bromobutene (18) (2.0 mL, 20 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of Mg turnings (486 [mg](#page-18-0), 20.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in THF (2 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight, and then a solution of heptaldehyde (1.1 mL, 8.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The reaction was stirred for 3.5 h and then quenched with aqueous NH4Cl (saturated solution). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 20$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 98/2)$  afforded the secondary alcohol 27 as a pale yellow oil (1.05 g, 6.17 mmol, 77% yield).

Following a reported procedure,  $^{17c}$  phthalimide (1.05 g, 7.11 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and triphenyl phosphine (1.87 g, 7.11 mmol, 1.40 equiv) were dissolved in THF (37 mL). [Seco](#page-18-0)ndary alcohol 27 (865 mg, 5.08 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added; DEAD (40% solution in toluene, 3.1 mL, 7.11 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was then added dropwise at rt over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 24 h. The solvent was evaporated, and the mixture was directly purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , pentane/EtOAc 20/1) to afford phthalimide 28 as a pale yellow oil (1.17 g, 3.91 mmol, 77% yield).

Phthalimide 28 (1.16 g, 3.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH (34 mL). Hydrazine hydrate (0.39 mL, 8.0 mmol, 2.07 equiv) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 7 h. The mixture was allowed to cool to rt. Concentrated HCl (37% w/w, ca. 10 mL) was added dropwise to quench the reaction, and the mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite. The aqueous solution was extracted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and treated with solid NaOH until pH 12. It was then extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (4 × 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to afford the secondary amine 29 (315 mg, 1.86 mmol, 48% yield) as a viscous colorless oil, which did not require further purification.

Secondary amine 29 (315 mg, 1.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (4.3 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N (freshly distilled on CaH<sub>2</sub>, 0.65 mL, 4.6 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added, and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Boc2O (567 mg, 2.60 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added in two portions, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The solution was then washed with aqueous citric acid (0.1 M, 4 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  10 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous  $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$  (saturated solution, 10 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. In order to remove the excess of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>24</sup>$  crude N-protected amine 9c was dissolved in EtOH (7.5 mL), and imidazole was added (633 mg, 9.30 mmol, 5.0 equiv). The resulting [mix](#page-18-0)ture was stirred for

30 min at rt, and then  $CHCl<sub>3</sub> (18 mL)$  was added, and the mixture was washed with an aqueous HCl 1% solution ( $2 \times 37$  mL,  $0-5$  °C). The combined organic layers were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the mixture was purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  Pentane/EtOAc 20/1) to afford the protected secondary amine 9c as a colorless oil (287 mg, 1.07 mmol, 57% yield):  $R_f$  0.76 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.81  $(ddt, 1 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 Hz$ , 5.01  $(dq, 1 H, J = 17.1, 1.7 Hz)$ , 4.95  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 10.1, 2.0, 1.3 Hz), 4.24 (m, 1 H), 3.55 (m, 1 H), 2.08$  $(m, 2 H)$ , 1.43  $(s, 9 H)$ , 1.36–1.22  $(m, 12 H)$ , 0.87  $(t, 3 H, J = 6.7)$ Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 155.6, 138.3, 114.6, 78.8, 50.3, 35.6, 34.8, 31.8, 30.2, 29.2, 28.4, 25.8, 22.6, 14.1; IR 3353 (w), 2978 (w), 2957 (w), 2931 (m), 2872 (w), 2859 (w), 1692 (s), 1642 (w), 1524 (m), 1506 (m), 1455 (w), 1391 (w), 1366 (m), 1266 (w), 1248 (w), 1247 (w), 1173 (s), 1105 (w), 1045 (w), 1021 (w), 995 (w), 910 (m), 857 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{32}NO_2^+$   $[M + H]^+$ 270.2428, found 270.2428.

Nona-1,8-dien-5-ol (30).



Following a reported procedure,  $17g$  a solution of 4-bromobutene (18) (2.0 mL, 20 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in THF (16 mL) was added dropwise to a suspension of Mg turnings (4[86 m](#page-18-0)g, 20.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in THF (2 mL) at rt. After stirring the resulting mixture for 1 h at rt, it was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of ethyl formate (0.65 mL, 8.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at rt for 4 h, and then the reaction was quenched with aqueous NH4Cl (saturated solution, 30 mL). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (3  $\times$  30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 90/10$  to 70/30) afforded secondary alcohol 30 as a colorless oil (1.03 g, 7.38 mmol, 92% yield):  $R_f$  0.29 (Hexane/EtOAc 20/3); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.83  $(ddt, 2 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7 Hz$ , 5.04 (ddd, 2 H, J = 17.1, 3.4, 1.7) Hz), 4.96 (ddd, 2 H, J = 10.2, 3.2, 1.5 Hz), 3.64 (m, 1 H), 2.16 (m, 4 H), 1.68−1.45 (m, 5 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.5, 114.7, 70.9, 36.4, 30.0; IR 3359 (w), 3078 (w), 2997 (w), 2979 (w), 2934 (m), 2869 (w), 2850 (w), 1642 (w), 1450 (w), 1416 (w), 1338 (w), 1317 (w), 1126 (w), 1126 (w), 1080 (w), 1061 (w), 1053 (w), 994 (m), 947 (w), 910 (s), 736 (w), 650 (w), 650 (w), 636 (w), 624 (w), 614 (w). The values for the characterization of 30 correspond to the ones reported in literature.  $17g$ 

tert-Butyl nona-1,8-dien-5-ylcarbamate (9d).<br> $\sim 2^{H}$ 



Following a reported procedure,  $17c$  phthalimide (1.93 g, 13.1 mmol, 1.40 equiv) and triphenyl phosphine (3.44 g, 13.1 mmol, 1.40 equiv) were dissolved in THF (74 mL)[. Se](#page-18-0)condary alcohol 30 (1.59 g, 10.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added; DEAD (40% solution in toluene, 6.6 mL, 15 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was then added dropwise at rt over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 23 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was triturated with petroleum ether/Et<sub>2</sub>O (2:1 mixture) until complete precipitation of the solids. The latter were filtered off and washed with the same mixture of solvents. The organic layers were combined, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography ( $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , Pentane/EtOAc 98/2 to 95/5) afforded phthalimide 31 as a colorless oil (2.32 g, 8.61 mmol, 82% yield).

Phthalimide 31 (2.32 g, 8.61 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH (76 mL). Hydrazine hydrate (0.870 mL, 17.8 mmol, 2.07 equiv) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 7 h (during this time a white solid precipitated). The mixture was allowed to cool down to rt,

and concentrated HCl (37% w/w, ca. 20 mL) was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure, and the residual aqueous solution was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 30$  mL) and treated with solid NaOH until pH 12. It was then extracted with  $Et_2O$  (4  $\times$  100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/ULTRA 95/5 to 50/50)$  afforded secondary amine 32 as a colorless oil (0.631 g, 4.53 mmol, 53% yield).

Secondary amine 32 (0.631 g, 4.53 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10.5 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.6 mL, 11 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added, and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Boc<sub>2</sub>O (1.38 g, 6.34 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added in two portions, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The solution was then washed with aqueous citric acid (0.1 M, 10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  10 mL); the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (saturated solution, 10 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , Pentane/EtOAc 95/5 to 90/10) afforded protected amine 9d as a colorless solid (0.749 g, 3.13 mmol, 69% yield): R<sub>f</sub> 0.59 (Hexane/EtOAc 20/3); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 5.81 (ddt, 2 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.6 Hz), 5.02 (ddd, 2 H, J = 17.1, 3.2, 1.5 Hz), 4.96 (d, 2 H, J = 10.2 Hz), 4.25 (m, 1 H), 3.59 (m, 1 H), 2.10 (m, 4 H), 1.56 (m, 4 H), 1.44 (s, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 155.6, 138.2, 114.8, 78.9, 49.9, 34.8, 30.2, 28.4; IR 3439 (w), 3341 (w), 3077 (w), 3002 (w), 2978 (m), 2933 (m), 2853 (w), 1685 (s), 1642 (w), 1525 (m), 1452 (w), 1391 (w), 1366 (m), 1302 (w), 1269 (w), 1248 (m), 1172 (s), 1102 (w), 1046 (w), 1023 (w), 994 (w), 910 (s), 875 (w), 861 (w), 778 (w), 748 (w), 681 (w), 664 (w), 644 (w), 631 (w), 610 (w); mp found, 38.5−40.5 °C; reported, 35.8−36.8 °C. The values for the characterization for 9d correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>25</sup>

2-Allylcyclopentanol (34).



Following a reported procedure,  $^{17\mathrm{a}}$  allyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 20 mL, 20 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL). Cyclopentene oxide (33) (0.70 [mL](#page-18-0), 8.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to the resulting solution at rt over 15 min. The mixture was refluxed for 2.5 h. It was the cooled to 0  $^{\circ}$ C, and then the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  (saturated solution, 20 mL). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 30$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. 2-Allyl cyclopentanol (34) was obtained as a colorless oil (777 mg, 6.16 mmol, 77% yield), which did not require further purification:  ${}^{1}H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 5.85 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 10.1, 6.8, 6.8 Hz), 5.06 (dddd, J = 17.0, 2.1, 1.4, 1.4 Hz), 5.00 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 10.1$ , 1.0, 1.0 Hz), 3.86 (m, 1 H), 2.18 (ddd, J = 14.1, 7.0, 7.0 Hz), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.91 (m, 2 H), 1.80 (m, 1 H), 1.71 (m, 1 H), 1.65−1.51 (m, 2 H), 1.49 (d, 1 H,  $J = 3.1$  Hz), 1.22  $(m, 1 H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  137.5, 115.2, 78.0, 47.1, 37.8, 34.0, 29.2, 21.5. The values for the characterization for 34 correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>26</sup>

tert-Butyl (2-allylcyclopentyl)carbamate (9e).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>17c</sup> phthalimide (1.18 g, 8.00 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and triphenyl phosphine (2.10 g, 8.00 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were dissolved in THF (43 mL). [Se](#page-18-0)condary alcohol 34 (777 mg, 6.16 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added; DEAD (40% solution in toluene, 3.9 mL, 8.6 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was then added dropwise at rt over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 23 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was triturated with

 $PET/Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (2:1 mixture) until complete precipitation of the solids. The latter were filtered off and washed with the same mixture of solvents. The organic layers were combined, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , Pentane/EtOAc 95/5) afforded the corresponding phthalimide as a pale yellow oil (1.21 g, 4.73 mmol, 77% yield), which was used for the next step without characterization.

The phthalimide obtained in the previous step (1.21 g, 4.73 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH (46 mL). Hydrazine hydrate (0.48 mL, 9.9 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h (during this time a white solid precipitated). The mixture was allowed to cool down to rt, and concentrated HCl (37% w/w, ca. 20 mL) was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure, and the residual aqueous solution was washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 30$  mL) and treated with solid NaOH until pH 12. It was then extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4  $\times$  100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Crude amine 35 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (ca. 590 mg, 4.71 mmol, quantitative), which was used for the next step without further purification.

Crude secondary amine 35 (590 g, 4.71 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (18 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.9 mL, 13 mmol, 2.7 equiv) was added, and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. Boc<sub>2</sub>O (1.48 g, 6.77 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added in two portions, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The solution was then washed with aqueous citric acid (0.1 M, 20 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$  (3  $\times$  20 mL); the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (saturated solution, 20 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 97/3$  to  $95/5)$ afforded N-Boc protected amine 9e as a colorless solid (560 mg, 2.48 mmol, 53% yield): R<sub>f</sub> 0.48 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); mp 43.0−45.2  $\rm ^{\circ}C;$  <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 65  $\rm ^{\circ}C)$   $\delta$  5.82 (m, 1 H), 5.02 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 17.1$ , 3.4, 1.6 Hz), 4.97 (dddd, 1 H,  $J = 10.2$ , 2.1, 1.1, 1.1 Hz), 4.34 (m, 1 H), 4.03 (m, 1 H), 2.23 (m, 1 H), 2.01 (m, 1 H), 1.97−1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.80 (m, 1 H), 1.68 (m, 1 H), 1.62−1.46 (m, 2 H), 1.46 (m, 9 H), 1.36–1.23 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.6, 137.8, 115.2, 79.0, 54.4, 42.6, 34.2, 32.6, 29.4, 28.5, 21.6; IR 3451 (w), 3336 (br w), 3332 (w), 3076 (w), 2970 (m), 2874 (w), 1696 (s), 1642 (w), 1507 (m), 1454 (w), 1388 (m), 1365 (m), 1311 (w), 1248 (m), 1169 (s), 1089 (w), 1045 (w), 1000 (m), 911 (m), 864 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{23}NNaO_2^+$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 248.1621, found 248.1626. 2-Allylcyclohexanol (37).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>17a</sup> allyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 20 mL, 20 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (16 mL). Cyclohexene oxide 36 (0.67 [mL,](#page-18-0) 6.6 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to the resulting solution at rt over 15 min. The mixture was refluxed for 3 h, and then the reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  (saturated solution, 30 mL). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with  $Et_2O$  (3  $\times$  30 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ and brine, dried over  $MgSO_4$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH$ 99/1 to 96/4) afforded secondary alcohol 37 as a colorless oil (0.838 g, 5.98 mmol, 90% yield): R<sub>f</sub> 0.44 (Hexane/EtOAc 20/3); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  5.89 (ddt, 1 H, J = 17.2, 10.1, 7.4 Hz), 5.09 (m, 1 H), 5.05 (m, 1 H), 3.30 (m, 1 H), 2.48 (m, 1 H), 2.09−1.92 (m, 2 H), 1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.65 (m, 2 H), 1.43–1.12 (m, 4 H), 0.98 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  137.5, 115.9, 74.5, 44.8, 37.4, 35.5, 30.3, 25.5, 24.9; IR 3332 (w), 2927 (s), 2856 (s), 1639 (w), 1462 (w), 1462 (w), 1449 (m), 1415 (w), 1352 (w), 1308 (w), 1234 (w), 1214 (w), 1195 (w), 1151 (w), 1132 (w), 1081 (w), 1061 (s), 1037 (s), 997 (w), 965 (w), 965 (w), 940 (w), 908 (m), 844 (w), 824 (w), 790 (w), 736 (m), 709 (w), 697 (w), 687 (w), 666 (w), 647 (m), 638 (m), 629

(w), 629 (w), 620 (w), 611 (m). The values for the characterization for 37 correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>27</sup> tert-Butyl (2-allylcyclohexyl)carbamate (9f).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>17c</sup> phthalimide (1.38 g, 9.36 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and triphenyl phosphine (2.45 g, 9.36 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were dissolved in THF (50 mL[\). 2](#page-18-0)-Allyl cyclohexanol (37) (1.00 g, 7.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added; DEAD (40% solution in toluene, 4.6 mL, 10 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was then added dropwise at rt over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 23 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was triturated with  $PET/Et_2O$  (2:1 mixture) until complete precipitation of the solids. The latter were filtered off and washed with the same mixture of solvents. The organic layers were combined, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 95/5 to 90/10)$  afforded the corresponding phthalimide as a pale yellow solid (835 mg, 3.10 mmol, 44% yield), which was used for the next step without characterization.

The phthalimide obtained in the previous step (835 mg, 3.10 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH (27 mL). Hydrazine hydrate (0.32 mL, 6.5 mmol, 2.1 equiv) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h (during this time a white solid precipitated). The mixture was allowed to cool down to rt, and concentrated HCl (37% w/w, ca. 10 mL) was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure, and the residual aqueous solution was washed with  $Et_2O$  (2 × 20 mL) and treated with solid NaOH until pH 12. It was then extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4  $\times$  50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Crude amine 38 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (366 mg, 2.63 mmol, 85% yield), which was used for the next step without further purification.

Crude secondary amine 38 (366 mg, 2.63 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (7.7 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N (freshly distilled on CaH<sub>2</sub>, 0.80 mL, 5.8 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added, and the solution was cooled to 0  $°C. Boc<sub>2</sub>O$  (632 mg, 2.89 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added in two portions, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. The solution was then washed with aqueous citric acid (0.1 M, 15 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3  $\times$  15 mL); the combined organic layers were washed with aqueous  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (saturated solution, 15 mL) and brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ Pentane/EtOAc 97/3) afforded N-Boc protected amine 9f as a colorless solid (438 mg, 1.83 mmol, 69% yield):  $R_f$  0.75 (Hexane/ EtOAc 4/1); mp 46.9–49.2 °C ;<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.79 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 10.2, 7.3, 7.3 Hz), 5.02 (m, 1 H), 4.98 (m, 1 H), 4.60 (br m, 1 H), 3.83 (m, 1 H), 2.09 (m, 1 H), 1.91 (m, 1 H), 1.77− 1.46 (m, 6 H), 1.45 (s, 9 H), 1.41−1.20 (m, 2 H), 1.13 (m, 1 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 155.4, 137.1, 115.8, 78.9, 49.2, 39.7, 36.2, 30.8, 28.4, 27.3, 24.3, 21.7; IR 3461 (w), 3348 (br w), 3284 (br w), 3135 (w), 3074 (w), 2976 (w), 2929 (m), 2859 (w), 2858 (w), 1814 (w), 1697 (s), 1643 (w), 1500 (m), 1456 (m), 1388 (m), 1365 (m), 1338 (w), 1312 (w), 1247 (m), 1170 (s), 1106 (w), 1067 (m), 975 (w), 944 (w), 911 (m), 873 (w); HRMS (FT-Orbitrap) calcd for  $C_{14}H_{26}NO_2^+ [M + H]^+$  240.1958, found 240.1949.





Following a reported procedure,  $^{17a}$  allyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 17.5 mL, 17.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was diluted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (16.8) mL). Cycloheptene oxide 39 [\(0.8](#page-18-0)1 mL, 7.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to the resulting solution at rt over 15 min. The mixture was refluxed for 2 h. It was the cooled to 0 °C, and then the

reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  (saturated solution, 18 mL). The two layers were separated, and the aqueous one was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 25$  mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water  $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$  and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOAc 97/3 to 93/7) afforded the pure 2-allyl cycloheptanol (40) as a colorless oil (978 mg, 6.34 mmol, 91% yield), which was used directly in the next step.

Following a reported procedure,<sup>17c</sup> phthalimide (1.06 g, 7.20 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and triphenyl phosphine (1.89 g, 7.20 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were dissolved in THF (38 mL). [Seco](#page-18-0)ndary alcohol 40 (855 mg, 5.54 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added; DEAD (40% solution in toluene, 3.5 mL, 7.8 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was then added dropwise at rt over 20 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 23 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was triturated with  $PET/Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (2:1 mixture) until complete precipitation of the solids. The latter were filtered off and washed with the same mixture of solvents. The organic layers were combined, and the solvents were removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , Pentane/EtOAc 95/5 to 93/7) afforded the corresponding phthalimide as a colorless solid (1.32 g, 4.66 mmol, 84% yield), which was used directly for the next step.

The phthalimide obtained in the previous step (1.09 g, 3.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH (34 mL). Hydrazine hydrate (0.47 mL, 9.6 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added, and the mixture was refluxed for 3 h (during this time a white solid precipitated). The mixture was allowed to cool down to rt, and concentrated HCl (37% w/w, ca. 20 mL) was added dropwise to quench the reaction. The solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure, and the residual aqueous solution was washed with  $Et_2O$  (2  $\times$  30 mL) and treated with solid NaOH until pH 12. It was then extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (4  $\times$  100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Crude amine 41 was obtained as a pale yellow oil (468 mg, 3.05 mmol, 79% yield), which was used for the next step without further purification.

Crude secondary amine 41 (460 mg, 3.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (8.8 mL). Et<sub>3</sub>N (freshly distilled on  $\text{CaH}_2$ , 0.92 mL, 6.6 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added, and the solution was cooled to 0  $\rm{°C.}$  Boc<sub>2</sub>O (785 mg, 3.60 mmol, 1.4 equiv) was added in two portions, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt overnight. In order to remove the excess of  $Boc<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub><sup>24</sup>$  the solvent was then removed by evaporation under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in EtOH (12 mL). Imidazole (1.[02](#page-18-0) g, 15.0 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 15 min. The mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and diluted with  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  (30 mL). The organic solution was then washed with aqueous HCl (1% v/v, 8.8 mL, 0  $^{\circ}$ C), dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  pentane/EtOAc 95/5) afforded N-Boc protected amine 9g as a colorless viscous oil (596 mg, 2.35 mmol, 78% yield):  $R_f$  0.57 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.77 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.1, 10.0, 7.2, 7.2 Hz), 5.00  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 14.4, 3.3, 1.5 Hz$ , 4.97  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 5.8, 1.8, 1.8 Hz$ , 4.51 (d, 1 H, J = 7.9 Hz), 3.89 (m, 1 H), 2.12 (m, 1 H), 1.90 (m, 1 H), 1.75 (m, 1 H), 1.65 (m, 2 H), 1.62−1.42 (m, 6 H), 1.42 (s, 9 H), 1.25 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.4, 137.6, 115.8, 78.8, 52.6, 42.6, 37.3, 33.1, 28.9, 28.4, 27.7, 26.3, 23.4; IR 3455 (w), 3344 (br w), 3075 (w), 2977 (w), 2926 (m), 2859 (w), 1696 (s), 1642 (w), 1500 (m), 1456 (w), 1389 (m), 1366 (m), 1341 (w), 1319 (w), 1246 (m), 1169 (s), 1106 (w), 1047 (w), 1021 (w), 998 (w), 955 (w), 911 (m), 889 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{15}H_{28}NO_2^+$   $[M + H]^+$ 254.2115, found 254.2117.



Following a reported procedure,<sup>17h</sup> 2-iodonitrobenzene (42) (1.74 g, 7.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF (10 mL), and the solution was cooled to −40 °C. [Phe](#page-18-0)nyl magnesium bromide (1.0 M in THF, 7.7 mL, 7.7 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was stirred at −40 °C for 15 min before a solution of CuCN (627 mg, 7.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and LiCl (593 mg, 14.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF (4.0 mL) was also added. The mixture was stirred for further 15 min, and then allyl bromide (0.67 mL, 7.7 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added. The mixture was stirred at −40 °C for 2 h, and then allowed to warm to rt and quenched by the addition of aqueous NH4Cl (saturated solution, ca. 10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 20$  mL), and the combined organic extracts were treated with activated charcoal. After the latter was filtered off, the organic solution was dried over over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and the solvent removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography ( $SiO_2$ , Pentane/ $CH_2Cl_2$  100/0 to 95/5) afforded 2-allyl nitrobenzene (43) as a pale yellow oil (590 mg, 3.60 mmol, 52% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.91 (dd, 1 H, J = 8.6, 1.4 Hz), 7.55 (d, 1H, J = 7.6, 1.2, Hz), 7.41−7.32 (m, 2 H), 5.97  $(dddd, 1 H, J = 16.7, 10.1, 6.5, 6.5 Hz$ , 5.12 (m, 1 H), 5.08 (m, 1 H), 3.69 (d, 2 H,  $J = 6.5$  Hz).

Following a reported procedure,  $17i$  Zn (dust, 3.53 g, 54.0 mmol, 15.0 equiv) and acetic acid (3.1 mL, 54 mmol, 15 equiv) were added to a solution of 2-allyl nitrobenze[ne \(](#page-18-0)43) (590 mg, 3.60 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in EtOH (36 mL). The resulting suspension was stirred at rt for 1.5 h and then filtered through Celite. After washing the Celite pad with EtOH, the organic solution was concentrated in vacuo. Aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (saturated solution, 30 mL) was added to the residue, and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The obtained crude oil was used for the next step without further purification.

Crude 2-allyl aniline (44) (ca. 480 mg, ca. 3.60 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5.8 mL), and Et<sub>3</sub>N (1.1 mL, 7.9 mmol, 2.2 equiv) was added to the resulting solution, cooled to  $0^{\circ}$ C. A solution of Boc<sub>2</sub>O (1.65 g, 7.54 mmol, 2.1 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (5.8 mL) was then added, and the mixture was stirred at 0  $^{\circ}{\rm C}$  for 10 min and then at rt for 20 h. After filtering the solids off, the resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude oil by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, pentane/EtOAc 95/5) afforded the pure N-Boc protected 2-allyl aniline 9h as a colorless oil (365 mg, 1.56 mmol, 43% yield):  $R_f$  0.57 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.78 (d, 1 H, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.24 (m, 2 H), 7.14 (dd, 1 H, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz), 7.04 (td, 1 H,  $J = 7.4$ , 1.0 Hz), 6.43 (br s, 1 H), 5.96 (dddd, 1 H, J = 17.2, 9.9, 5.8, 5.8 Hz), 5.16 (dq, 1 H, J = 9.9, 1.5 Hz), 5.06 (dq, 1 H,  $J = 17.2$ , 1.7 Hz), 3.37 (d, 2 H,  $J = 6.0$  Hz), 1.51 (s, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  153.1, 136.4, 135.8, 129.9, 128.9, 127.3, 123.9, 121.9, 116.5, 80.2, 36.4, 28.3; IR 3430 (br w), 3335 (br w), 3003 (w), 2976 (w), 2932 (w), 2877 (w), 2876 (w), 2871 (w), 2360 (w), 2342 (w), 2332 (w), 1731 (m), 1688 (m), 1639 (w), 1613 (w), 1589 (w), 1513 (s), 1477 (w), 1452 (m), 1429 (w), 1412 (m), 1392 (w), 1366 (m), 1300 (w), 1299 (w), 1245 (m), 1158 (s), 1119 (m), 1037 (w), 1028 (w), 999 (w), 919 (w), 878 (w), 843 (w), 816 (w). The data for the characterization of compound 9h correspond to the ones reported in the literature.<sup>23</sup>



Aniline (0.36 mL, 4.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (12 mL), and the resulting solution was cooled to 0  $^{\circ}$ C. Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.83 mL, 6.0 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added. Pentenoyl chloride (45) (0.44 mL; 4.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was then added dropwise, and the mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight. It was then diluted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) and washed with aqueous HCl (1.0 M, 20 mL), aqueous NaOH  $(1.0 \text{ M}, 20 \text{ mL})$ , water and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The resulting off-white solid (690 mg, 3.94 mmol, 98% yield) was used in the next step without further purification.

Pentenamide 46 (683 mg, 3.90 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF (4.3 mL), and the solution was cooled to 0  $\degree$ C. A suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (430 mg, 11.3 mmol, 2.9 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (11.3 mL) was added

dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred overnight. It was then cooled back to 0  $^{\circ}$ C, and water (0.43 mL), aqueous NaOH (10% w/w, 0.86 mL) and again water (1.3 mL) were added under vigorous stirring in order to induce the complete precipitation of lithium salts. The solids were filtered off and washed with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  several times. The organic solution was concentrated in vacuo to afford  $N$ -(pent-4-en-1-yl)aniline  $(9i)$  (585 mg, 3.63 mmol, 93% yield) as a colorless oil, which did not require further purification:  $R_f$  0.43 (Hexane/EtOAc 10/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.22  $(m, 2 H)$ , 6.74 (t, 1 H, J = 7.2 Hz), 6.64 (m, 2 H), 5.89 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.9, 10.2, 6.7, 6.7 Hz), 5.11 (ddd, 1 H, J = 17.1, 3.3, 1.7 Hz), 5.05  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 10.5, 3.5, 1.5 Hz$ , 3.65 (br s, 1 H), 3.17 (dd, 2 H, J = 7.0, 7.0 Hz), 2.22 (m, 2 H), 1.76 (quint, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  148.3, 138.0, 129.2, 117.1, 115.0, 112.6, 43.3, 31.3, 28.6; IR 3411 (br w), 3077 (w), 3054 (w), 3053 (w), 3021 (w), 3001 (w), 2976 (w), 2930 (w), 2858 (w), 1641 (w), 1603 (s), 1507 (s), 1477 (w), 1433 (w), 1371 (w), 1320 (m), 1279 (w), 1259 (m), 1180 (w), 1153 (w), 1102 (w), 993 (m), 912 (m), 869 (w), 837 (w). The values for the characterization of 9i correspond to the ones reported in literature.  $\rm ^{6f}$ 



Following a reported procedure,<sup>17b</sup> pyridine (0.22 mL, 2.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and DMAP (16.0 mg, 0.125 mmol, 0.050 equiv) were added to a solution of *para*-anisidine (308 [mg](#page-18-0), 2.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (7.0 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and pentenoyl chloride (45) (0.30 mL, 2.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt. The reaction was then quenched by adding aqueous HCl  $(10\% \text{ v/v}, 7.0 \text{ mL})$ , and the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  (saturated solution, 10 mL), water and brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  $CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$  99/1 to 95/5) afforded pentenamide 47 as an offwhite solid (400 mg, 1.95 mmol, 78% yield).

Pentenamide 47 (400 mg, 1.95 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in a mixture of THF  $(1.4 \text{ mL})$  and Et<sub>2</sub>O  $(6.1 \text{ mL})$ , and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. A suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (185 mg, 4.87 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in Et<sub>2</sub>O (4.9 mL) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to rt and stirred overnight. It was then cooled back to 0 °C and water (0.20 mL), aqueous NaOH (10% w/w, 0.40 mL) and again water (0.6 mL) were added under vigorous stirring in order to induce the complete precipitation of lithium salts. The solids were filtered off and washed with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  several times. The organic solution was concentrated in vacuo to afford 4-methoxy-N-(pent-4-en-1-yl)aniline (9j) (356 mg, 1.86 mmol, 95% yield) as a brown oil, which did not require further purification:  $R_f$  0.55 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.78 (d, 2 H, J = 8.6 Hz), 6.58 (d, 2 H, J = 8.6 Hz), 5.84 (ddd, 1 H, J = 17.0, 10.1, 6.7 Hz), 5.06 (d, 1 H, J = 17.2 Hz), 5.00 (d, 1 H, J = 10.1 Hz), 3.75 (s, 3 H), 3.35 (br s, 1 H), 3.10 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.17 (dd, 2 H, J = 13.7, 6.7 Hz), 1.71 (quint, 2 H, J = 7.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  151.9, 142.6, 138.0, 114.9, 114.8, 114.0, 55.7, 44.3, 31.3, 28.7; IR 3411 (w), 3077 (w), 3054 (w), 3021 (w), 3001 (w), 2976 (w), 2930 (w), 2858 (w), 1641 (w), 1603 (s), 1507 (s), 1477 (w), 1433 (w), 1371 (w), 1320 (m), 1279 (w), 1259 (m), 1180 (w), 1153 (w), 1153 (w), 1102 (w), 1102 (w), 1023 (w), 993 (m), 912 (m), 869 (w), 869 (w). The values for the characterization of  $9i$  correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>6t</sup>

Ge[ne](#page-17-0)ral Procedure for the Bromination of Terminal<br>Alkynes.<sup>15a</sup> The terminal alkyne (1.0 equiv) was dissolved in acetone (ca. 6.8 mL per mmol of alkyne). N-bromosuccinimide (1.2 equiv) and  $AgNO<sub>3</sub>$  [\(0.1](#page-18-0) equiv) were added to the resulting solution in this order, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 3−6 h, until complete consumption of the starting material according to TLC. It was then poured onto iced water. The aqueous layer was extracted with pentane (3 times), and the combined organic extracts were dried over  $MgSO_4$ ,

filtered, and the solvent removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. The bromo alkyne was isolated by column chromatography in 95−99% purity as judged by <sup>1</sup> H NMR.

2-Bromo-1-triisopropylsilyl acetylene (4).



Triisopropylsilylacetylene (48) (813 mg, 4.45 mmol, 1.00 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. Bromoalkyne 4 was obtained as a colorless oil (1.16 g, 4.43 mmol, 99%) without further purification: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.20-0.97 (m, 21 H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  83.5, 61.7, 18.5, 11.3. The values for the characterization of 4 correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>28</sup>

1-Bromooct-1-yne (6a).

$$
\begin{array}{c|c}\n\hline\n\text{NBS} & \text{Bf} \\
\hline\n\text{Age} & \text{AgNO}_3 \, (10 \, \text{mol} \, \%) & \text{Br} \\
\hline\n\text{Acetone, rt} & \text{Ga}\n\end{array}
$$

1-Octyne (19) (3.0 mL, 20 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, pentane), bromoalkyne 6a was obtained as a colorless oil (3.33 g, 17.6 mmol, 88% yield):  $R_f$  0.91 (Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.20 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.51 (quint, 2 H,  $J = 6.4$  Hz), 1.42–1.33 (quint, 2 H,  $J = 7.1$  Hz), 1.33–1.22 (m, 4 H) 0.89 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  80.5, 37.4, 31.3, 28.5, 28.3, 22.5, 19.7, 14.0. The values for the characterization of 6a correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>29</sup>

1-Chlorooct-1-yne (6b).

$$
M e\n\nM e\n\nA goAc (10 mol %)\n\nA cetone, reflux\n\n6b
$$

Following a slightly modified version of a reported procedure,<sup>15g</sup> N-Chlorosuccinimide (641 mg, 4.80 mmol, 1.20 equiv) and AgOAc (67.0 mg, 0.400 mmol, 0.10 equiv) were added in this order to a solu[tion](#page-18-0) of 1-octyne (19) (0.60 mL; 4.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetone (16.0 mL), and the solution was heated to reflux overnight. The mixture was poured onto ice, and the resulting aqueous layer was extracted with pentane  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>,$  Pentane) afforded chloro alkyne 6b as a smelly colorless oil (577 mg, 3.99 mmol, quantitative): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.16 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.50 (quint, J = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 1.43−1.24 (m, 6 H), 0.89 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  69.7, 56.9, 31.3, 28.5, 28.4, 22.5, 18.8, 14.0. The values for the characterization of 6b correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>30</sup>

1-Iodooct-1-yne (6c).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>15b</sup> a solution of 1-octyne  $(19)$   $(0.60)$ mL, 4.0 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (6.5 mL) was cooled to  $-78$  °C, and nBuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 1.[7 m](#page-18-0)L, 4.2 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise. The solution was stirred at −78 °C for 15 min and then at 0 °C for 5 min; it was then cooled back to −78 °C, and a solution of iodine (1.12 g, 4.40 mmol, 1.10 equiv) in THF (6.5 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred at rt for 2 h and then diluted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  and quenched with brine (ca. 15 mL). The organic layer was separated, washed with aqueous  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  (saturated solution, 15 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 98/2) afforded iodo alkyne 6c as a smelly colorless oil (932 mg, 3.95 mmol, 99%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 2.35 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.50 (quint, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.41−1.31 (m, 2 H), 1.33−1.23 (m, 4 H), 0.89 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  94.8, 65.8,

31.3, 28.4, 22.5, 20.8, 14.0, −7.6. The values for the characterization of 6c correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>29</sup>

# ((5-Bromopent-4-yn-1-yl)oxy)(tert-butyl)diphenylsilane (6d).<br>TEDPSCI



4-Pentyn-1-ol  $(49)$   $(0.46$  mL, 5.0 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $(10)$ mL), and the solution was cooled to 0 °C. After the addition of imidazole (442 mg, 6.50 mmol, 1.3 equiv), tert-butyl diphenyl silyl chloride (1.4 mL. 5.4 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was also added, dropwise. The mixture was stirred and allowed to warm to rt overnight. The reaction was then quenched by the addition of water (ca. 10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 98/2)$  afforded the O-silylated pentynol 50 as a colorless oil (1.33 g, 4.12 mmol, 82% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.67 (m, 4 H), 7.46–7.34 (m, 6 H), 3.75 (t, 2 H, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.35 (td, 2 H,  $J = 7.2$ , 2.6 Hz), 1.92 (t, 1 H,  $J = 2.6$  Hz), 1.78  $(ddd, 2 H, J = 13.1, 7.1, 6.0 Hz$ , 1.05  $(s, 9 H)$ .

O-Silylated alcohol 50 (1.33 g. 4.12 mmol) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 98/2), bromoalkyne 6d was obtained as a pale yellow oil (1.42 g, 3.54 mmol, 86% yield):  $^1\rm H$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.68 (m, 4 H), 7.47–7.34 (m, 6 H), 3.74 (t, 2 H,  $J = 5.9$  Hz), 2.38 (t, 2 H,  $J = 7.1$  Hz), 1.76 (ddd, 2 H,  $J = 12.9$ , 6.7, 5.8 Hz), 1.06 (s, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  135.5, 133.7, 129.6, 127.6, 79.9, 62.1, 37.8, 31.1, 26.8, 19.2, 16.2; IR 3070 (w), 3050 (w), 2954 (w), 2932 (w), 2931 (w), 2893 (w), 2857 (w), 1590 (w), 1470 (w), 1428 (w), 1389 (w), 1361 (w), 1189 (w), 1107 (s), 1063 (m), 1003 (w), 961 (w), 909 (w), 823 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}^{79}BrH_{26}OSi^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 401.0931, found 401.0943.  $C^{9}BrH_{26}OSi^{+}$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 401.0931, found 401.0943.



Pent-4-yn-1-ylbenzene (51) (0.76 mL, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, pentane), bromo alkyne 6e was obtained as a pale yellow oil (1.05 g, 4.71 mmol, 94% yield):  $R_f$  0.38 (Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33–7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.24– 7.19 (m, 3 H), 2.73 (t, 2 H, J = 7.5 Hz), 2.24 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.86  $(m, 2 H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.3, 128.5, 128.3, 125.9, 79.9, 38.1, 34.7, 29.8, 19.1. The values for the characterization of 6e correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>25</sup>

1-Bromo-5-chloropent-1-yne (6f).



5-Chloropent-1-yne (52) (0.53 mL, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane), bromoalkyne 6f was obtained as a colorless oil (805 mg, 4.44 mmol, 89% yield):  $R_f$  0.38 (Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.64 (t, 2 H, J = 6.3 Hz), 2.41 (t, 2 H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.97 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 78.4, 43.4, 39.0, 31.0, 17.1; IR 3000 (w), 2961 (m), 2915 (w), 2914 (w), 2873 (w), 2844 (w), 2843 (w), 2219 (w), 2218 (w), 1455 (w), 1442 (s), 1433 (s), 1354 (w), 1329 (w), 1309 (m), 1290 (s), 1111 (w), 1041 (w), 1040 (w), 1034 (w), 1033 (w), 991 (w), 990 (w), 972 (w), 945 (w), 911 (w), 854 (m). Anal. Calcd. for C5H6ClBr: C, 33.09%; H, 3.33%. Found: C, 33.13%; H, 3.06%.





Following a reported procedure,<sup>15e</sup> 1,6-heptadiyne (53) (0.62 mL, 5.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF (8.0 mL), and the solution was cooled to −78 °C. A 1.0 M s[olu](#page-18-0)tion of LHMDS in THF (freshly prepared by treating HMDS (5.3 mmol) with nBuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 2.1 mL, 5.3 mmol, 0.98 equiv) was added dropwise over 15 min, and the resulting mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 40 min. Triisopropyl silyl chloride (1.15 mL, 5.40 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise over 1.5 h at the same temperature and was then warmed to rt during 3 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of water (10 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (3  $\times$  15 mL). The combined organic extracts were washed with aqueous HCl (1.0 M, 10 mL), dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane) to furnish monosilylated diyne 54 as a colorless oil (640 mg, 2.78 mmol, 48% yield).

Monosilylated diyne 54 (640 mg, 2.78 mmol) was brominated according to the general procedure, but using 1.3 equiv of NBS (594 mg, 3.34 mmol) and 0.3 equiv of AgNO<sub>3</sub> (131 mg, 0.771 mmol). After purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>,$  pentane), bromo alkyne 6g was obtained as a colorless oil (803 g, 2.45 mmol, 95% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  2.36 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.36  $(t, 2 H, J = 7.3 Hz)$ , 1.74 (quint, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.10−1.02 (m, 21 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  107.5, 81.1, 79.3, 38.3, 27.6, 19.0, 18.7, 18.6, 11.3; IR 2942 (s), 2893 (m), 2865 (s), 2721 (w), 2172 (m), 1464 (s), 1431 (m), 1384 (w), 1362 (w), 1343 (w), 1326 (w), 1311 (w), 1290 (w), 1241 (w), 1213 (w), 1135 (w), 1070 (w), 1044 (m), 1019 (m), 995 (m), 919 (w), 883 (s), 840 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{27}^{79}BrSi^{+}$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 326.1065, found 326.1058.

(((4-Bromo-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)methyl)benzene (6h).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>15c</sup> NaH (60% suspension in mineral oil, 240 mg, 6.00 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added portionwise to a solution of 2-methyl-3-butyn-2-ol (55) ([0.49](#page-18-0) mL, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (24 mL). The mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h, and then TBAI (92.0 mg, 0.250 mmol, 0.050 equiv) and benzyl bromide (0.72 mL, 6.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv) were added in this order. The reaction mixture was stirred at rt overnight and then diluted with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (18 mL). The organic solution was washed with water  $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$ , brine and dried over MgSO4. Upon filtration, it was concentrated by evaporation under reduced pressure, and the resulting crude oil was purified by column chromatography ( $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , Pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 95/5) to afford the pure O-benzylated alcohol 15 as a colorless oil (715 mg, 4.10 mmol, 82% yield), which was directly used in the next step.

O-Benzylated alcohol 15 (523 mg, 3.00 mmol) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 98/2)$ , bromoalkyne 6h was obtained as a pale yellow oil (631 mg, 2.49 mmol, 83% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.41−7.31 (m, 4 H), 7.27 (m, 1 H), 4.62  $(s, 2 H)$ , 1.55  $(s, 6 H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.7, 128.3, 127.7, 127.4, 82.4, 71.7, 66.7, 44.1, 28.7; IR 3065 (w), 3031 (w), 2985 (w), 2934 (w), 2864 (w), 2210 (w), 1496 (w), 1454 (w), 1436 (w), 1382 (w), 1361 (w), 1235 (m), 1186 (m), 1157 (s), 1086 (m), 1055 (s), 1030 (m), 1003 (w), 960 (w), 894 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{12}H_{13}^{79}BrO^{+} [M]^{+}$  252.0150, found 252.0144.

((4-Bromo-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)triisopropylsilane (6i).



Following a reported procedure,<sup>15h</sup> 2-methylbut-3-yn-2-ol (55) (0.34 mL, 3.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 2,6-lutidine (freshly distilled on CaH<sub>2</sub>, 0.41 mL, 3.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) [we](#page-18-0)re dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (12 mL). TIPSOTf (0.94 mL, 3.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to the solution at 0 °C. The solution was allowed to warm to rt overnight and then quenched with a saturated aqueous  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$  solution, and the aqueous layer was extracted with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with  $H_2O$ , dried over  $MgSO_4$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane) afforded TIPS-protected propargyl alcohol 56 as a colorless oil (622 mg, 2.59 mmol, 74% yield), which was used directly for the next step.

Propargyl alcohol 56 (603 mg, 2.51 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$  pentane), bromoalkyne 6i was obtained as a colorless oil (733 mg, 2.30 mmol, 91% yield):  $R_f$  0.91 (Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  1.51 (s, 6 H), 1.18-1.03 (m, 21 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 85.4, 67.2, 42.7, 32.9, 18.3, 13.0; IR 2982 (w), 2962 (w), 2961 (w), 2943 (m), 2892 (w), 2866 (m), 2214 (w), 1748 (w), 1741 (w), 1740 (w), 1724 (w), 1464 (m), 1379 (w), 1361 (w), 1230 (m), 1205 (w), 1164 (s), 1050 (s), 1016 (w), 997 (w), 996 (w), 953 (w), 937 (w), 909 (m), 882 (s), 842 (w); HRMS (APPI) calcd for  $C_{14}^{79}BrH_{27}OSi^{+}$  [M]<sup>+</sup> 318.1009, found 318.1011.

#### (((1-Bromonon-1-yn-3-yl)oxy)methyl)benzene (6j).

Following a slightly modified reported procedure,<sup>15d</sup> heptaldehyde (57) (1.22 mL, 8.76 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of ethynyl magnesium bromide (0.5 M in T[HF,](#page-18-0) 22.8 mL, 11.4 mmol, 1.3 equiv) at 0 $\degree$ C. After 30 min, the cooling bath was removed to reach rt, and the solution was stirred for further 2 h. The reaction was then quenched by addition of aqueous HCl (1.0 M, 15 mL), and the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, pentane/EtOAc 20/1)$  afforded the corresponding propargyl alcohol as a yellow oil (943 mg, 6.73 mmol, 77% yield).

Following a reported procedure,<sup>15c</sup> the propargyl alcohol (701 mg, 5.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a suspension of NaH (168 mg, 7.00 mmol, 1.4 equiv) i[n T](#page-18-0)HF (24 mL). The solution was stirred for 2 h, and then TBAI (92.3 mg, 0.250 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and benzyl bromide (0.84 mL, 7.0 mmol, 1.4 equiv) were added sequentially. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight and then quenched by slow addition of  $H_2O$ . The aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 40$  mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO_4$ , filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane/EtOAc 20/1) afforded the O-benzylated propargyl alcohol 58 as a yellow oil (1.12 mg, 4.86 mmol, 97% yield).

Propargyl alcohol 58 (1.12 g, 4.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 98/2)$ , bromoalkyne 6j was obtained as a pale yellow oil  $(1.06 \text{ g}, 3.43 \text{ mmol}, 71\% \text{ yield})$ :  $R_f$  0.66 (Hexane/EtOAc 10/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37-7.27  $(m, 5 H)$ , 4.78 (d, 1 H, J = 11.7 Hz), 4.49 (d, 1 H, J = 11.7 Hz), 4.09  $(t, 1 H, J = 6.6 Hz)$ , 1.74 (ddd, 2 H, J = 13.2, 6.6, 2.0 Hz), 1.44 (m, 2 H), 1.35−1.25 (m, 6 H), 0.88 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 137.8, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 79.5, 70.7, 69.6, 45.1, 35.6, 31.7, 28.9, 25.2, 22.6, 14.1; IR 3031 (w), 2953 (s), 2928 (s), 2857 (s), 2207 (w), 1726 (w), 1725 (w), 1705 (w), 1497 (w), 1456 (s), 1391 (w), 1377 (w), 1333 (m), 1206 (w), 1120 (w), 1092 (s), 1071 (s), 1029 (m), 990 (w), 910 (w), 846 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}^{79}BrH_{22}O^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 309.0849, found 309.0857.





Following a slightly modified reported procedure,<sup>15d</sup> heptaldehyde (57) (1.22 mL, 8.76 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise to a solution of ethynyl magnesium bromide (0.5 M in T[HF,](#page-18-0) 22.8 mL, 11.4 mmol, 1.3 equiv) at 0 °C. After 30 min, the cooling bath was removed to reach rt, and the solution was stirred for further 2 h. The reaction

was then quenched by addition of aqueous HCl (1.0 M, 15 mL), and the mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane/EtOAc 20/1) afforded the corresponding propargyl alcohol as a yellow oil (943 mg, 6.73 mmol, 77% yield).

TIPSCl (1.36 mL, 6.36 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and DMAP (777 mg, 6.36 mmol, 1.1 equiv) were dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (10 mL) at rt and a solution of propargyl alcohol (810 mg, 5.78 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (30 mL) was slowly added to the reaction mixture. The reaction was stirred overnight and then quenched by sequential addition of  $H_2O$  (36 mL) and aqueous HCl (2.0 M, 36 mL). The mixture was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 20 mL), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. Purification by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane)$  afforded the O-silylated propargyl alcohol 59 as a colorless oil (1.04 g, 3.51 mmol, 61% yield).

O-Silylated propargyl alcohol 59 (890 mg, 3.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, pentane), bromo alkyne 6k was obtained as a colorless oil (1.04 g, 2.77 mmol, 92% yield):  $R_f$  0.63 (Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.48 (t, 1 H, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.69 (m, 2 H), 1.44 (m, 2 H), 1.36−1.26 (m, 6 H), 1.17−1.04 (m, 21 H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  82.1, 64.0, 43.6, 38.7, 31.8, 29.0, 24.9, 22.6, 18.0, 18.0, 14.1, 12.3; IR 2942 (s), 2928 (s), 2894 (m), 2866 (s), 2212 (w), 1598 (w), 1597 (w), 1597 (w), 1596 (w), 1592 (w), 1591 (w), 1464 (m), 1383 (w), 1367 (w), 1339 (w), 1260 (w), 1259 (w), 1119 (m), 1096 (s), 1067 (s), 1014 (m), 998 (m), 971 (w), 920 (w), 883 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}^{79}BrH_{36}OSi^{+}$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 375.1713, found 375.1724.

1,9-Dibromonon-1-yne (6l).

Weby N	Embyendamine	1) $CBr_4$ , $PPh_3$
Me	MeCN, rt	
60	Ch	20
60	Co	12
61	20	
62	20	
63	20	
64	3	
65	61	

Following a reported procedure,<sup>15f</sup> NaH (60% suspension in mineral oil, 1.28 g, 32.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added to ethylene diamine (freshly distilled from KOH, 14.[4 m](#page-18-0)L), and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. During this time, it became brown, and after being stirred at 60 °C during an additional hour, it finally converted into a turbid violet solution. It was then cooled to 40 °C, and 3-nonyn-1-ol (60) (1.27 mL, 8.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added dropwise. The mixture was then heated back to 60 °C and stirred at this temperature for 2 h. After this time, the mixture was allowed to cool down to rt, and aqueous HCl (1.0 M, 15 mL) was added. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ Pentane/EtOAc  $95/5$  to  $70/30$ ) to furnish 8-nonyn-1-ol  $(61)$  as a yellow oil (856 mg, 6.10 mmol, 76% yield), which was used directly in the next step.

Alcohol 61 (280 mg, 2.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in acetonitrile (6.8 mL). Triphenyl phosphine (787 mg, 3.00 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and tetrabromo methane (997 mg, 3.00 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added in this order, and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by addition of aqueous NaOH  $(15\% \text{ w/w})$ until pH 9, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3  $\times$  10 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over  $MgSO_4$ , filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude oil was purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, Pentane/EtOAc 95/5)$  to furnish the corresponding alkyl bromide as a yellow oil (404 mg, 1.99 mmol, quantitative), which was used directly in the following step.

The obtained alkyl bromide (404 mg, 1.99 mmol) was brominated according to the general procedure. After purification by column chromatography ( $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , pentane), dibromo alkyne 6l was obtained as a colorless oil (422 g, 1.49 mmol, 75% yield):  $R_f$  0.44 (Hexane); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.41 (t, 2 H, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.21 (t, 2 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.86 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.52 (m, 2 H), 1.47−1.28 (m, 6 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  80.3, 37.6, 33.9, 32.7, 28.5, 28.2,

28.1, 28.0, 19.6; IR 2951 (m), 2929 (m), 2900 (w), 2856 (m), 1599 (w), 1490 (w), 1471 (w), 1464 (w), 1442 (w), 1433 (w), 1433 (w), 1407 (w), 1389 (w), 1389 (w), 1361 (w), 1361 (w), 1352 (w), 1254 (m), 1223 (w), 1189 (w), 1105 (s), 1071 (m), 1029 (w), 1007 (w), 1007 (w), 982 (w), 954 (w), 912 (w), 835 (s), 816 (w). Anal. Calcd. for C9H14Br2: C, 38.33%; H, 5.00%. Found: C, 38.69%; H, 5.08%.

General Procedure for the Oxy- and Aminoalkynylation **Reaction.** Under inert atmosphere,  $Pd(dba)$ <sub>2</sub> (11.5 mg, 0.0200 mmol, 0.05 equiv), DPEPHos (16.1 mg, 0.0300 mmol, 0.075 equiv) and NaOtBu (50.0 mg, 0.520 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were introduced into a 5 mL vial, which was then sealed. Toluene was added (4.6 mL), followed by the bromo acetylene (0.520 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and the starting material (0.40 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 3 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was then directly purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane/EtOAc 98/2 to 96/4).

General Procedure for the Deprotection of 2-Propargyl N-Boc Pyrrolidines. The N-Boc pyrrolidines 10 (1.0 equiv) is dissolved in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (20 mL per mmol of protected pyrrolidine), and the resulting solution is cooled to 0 °C. Trifluoroacetic acid (10 mL per mmol of protected pyrrolidine) was added dropwise, and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 40 min. The volatiles were then removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in  $CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>$ , and the solution as washed with aqueous NaOH (2 M, three times). The combined organic layers were dried over  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (7aa). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a colorless oil (56.4 mg, 0.291 mmol, 69% yield):  $R_f$  0.54 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.99 (ddd, 1 H, J = 12.2, 6.7, 6.7 Hz), 3.90  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 8.2, 7.0, 7.0 Hz$ , 3.76  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 7.7, 7.7, 6.4 Hz$ , 2.43 (ddt, 1 H,  $J = 16.4$ , 5.1, 2.4 Hz), 2.33 (ddt, 1 H,  $J = 16.4$ , 6.7, 2.4 Hz), 2.15 (tt, 2 H, J = 7.0, 2.4 Hz), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.90 (m, 2 H), 1.69 (ddt, 1 H, J = 12.0, 8.7, 7.0 Hz), 1.48 (quint, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.41– 1.21 (m, 6 H), 0.88 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 81.6, 77.7, 76.5, 68.4, 31.3, 30.7, 29.0, 28.5, 25.7, 25.6, 22.5, 18.8, 14.0; IR 2956 (m), 2928 (m), 2857 (m), 1690 (w), 1460 (w), 1436 (w), 1435 (w), 1378 (w), 1369 (w), 1183 (w), 1110 (w), 1069 (s), 920 (w), 877 (w), 844 (w), 831 (w), 816 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{23}O^+$   $[M + H]^+$  195.1743, found 195.1741.

tert-Butyldiphenyl((6-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)hex-4-yn-1-yl)oxy) silane (7ad). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6d (208 mg, 0.519 mmol). It was obtained as a colorless oil (109 mg, 0.269 mmol, 67% yield):  $R_f$  0.49 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.67 (m, 4 H), 7.45−7.34 (m, 6 H), 3.95 (ddd, 1 H, J = 12.3, 6.7, 6.7 Hz), 3.88 (m, 1 H), 3.78−3.70 (m, 1 H), 3.73 (t, 2 H, J = 6.1 Hz), 2.40 (ddd, 1 H, J = 13.7, 5.3, 2.3 Hz), 2.34−2.26 (m, 3 H), 1.98 (m, 1 H), 1.94−1.80 (m, 2 H), 1.74 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.63 (dddd, 1 H, J  $= 12.3, 8.8, 7.0, 7.0 Hz$ , 1.05 (s, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 135.9, 134.2, 129.8, 127.9, 81.3, 78.0, 77.1, 68.7, 62.9, 32.2, 31.0, 27.1, 26.0, 25.9, 19.5, 15.7; IR 3070 (w), 3049 (w), 3026 (w), 3015 (w), 2997 (w), 2953 (w), 2931 (m), 2857 (w), 1590 (w), 1488 (w), 1473 (w), 1463 (w), 1446 (w), 1429 (m), 1390 (w), 1361 (w), 1189 (w), 1140 (w), 1108 (s), 1068 (s), 1032 (w), 1009 (w), 999 (w), 975 (w), 963 (w), 938 (w), 911 (m), 910 (m), 823 (m); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{35}O_2Si^+ [M + H]^+$  407.2401, found 407.2385.

2-(6-Phenylhex-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (7ae). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6e (116 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (58.0 mg, 0.254 mmol, 64% yield):  $R_f$  0.67 (Hexane/ EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.21– 7.16 (m, 3 H), 4.01 (ddd, 1 H, J = 13.5, 6.7, 5.5 Hz), 3.92 (ddd, 1 H, J  $= 8.2, 7.0, 6.4 Hz$ , 3.77 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.1, 7.5, 6.3 Hz), 2.72 (t, 2 H, J  $= 7.5$  Hz), 2.46 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 5.4, 2.4, 2.4 Hz), 2.37 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 6.7, 2.4, 2.4 Hz), 2.22−2.16 (m, 2 H), 2.05 (m, 1 H), 1.99− 1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.81 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.72 (m, 1 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 141.8, 128.5, 128.3, 125.8, 81.0, 77.6, 77.2, 68.4,

34.8, 30.7, 30.6, 25.7, 25.6, 18.3; IR 3025 (w), 2973 (w), 2943 (w), 2942 (w), 2929 (w), 2909 (w), 2903 (w), 2885 (w), 2884 (w), 2863 (w), 1603 (w), 1496 (w), 1455 (w), 1434 (w), 1408 (w), 1394 (w), 1370 (w), 1332 (w), 1313 (w), 1251 (w), 1243 (w), 1234 (w), 1233 (w), 1179 (w), 1067 (s), 1032 (w), 1014 (w), 1013 (w), 1009 (w), 996 (w), 984 (w), 967 (w), 945 (w), 911 (w), 880 (w), 873 (w), 844 (w), 838 (w), 832 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{21}O^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 229.1587, found 229.1586.

2-(6-Chlorohex-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (7af). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6f (94.4 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (41.5 mg, 0.222 mmol, 56% yield):  $R_f$  0.53 (Hexane/ EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.98 (quint, 1 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 3.90 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.5, 7.0, 7.0 Hz), 3.76 (ddd, 1 H, J = 7.5, 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 3.64 (t, 2 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 2.45−2.30 (m, 4 H), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 1.97−1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.92 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.65 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 79.4, 77.8, 77.5, 68.4, 43.7, 31.6, 30.7, 25.7, 25.5, 16.2; IR 2961 (w), 2953 (w), 2952 (w), 2951 (w), 2926 (w), 2914 (w), 2869 (w), 1457 (w), 1441 (w), 1435 (w), 1369 (w), 1358 (w), 1357 (w), 1331 (w), 1330 (w), 1307 (w), 1292 (w), 1272 (w), 1110 (w), 1067 (s), 1009 (w), 987 (w), 972 (w), 971 (w), 913 (m), 861 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{10}^{37}CH_{16}O^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 187.0884, found 187.0889.

Triisopropyl(8-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)octa-1,6-diyn-1-yl)silane (7ag). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6g (170 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (76.7 mg, 0.230 mmol, 59% yield): R<sub>f</sub> 0.34 (Hexane/EtOAc 20/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.98 (ddd, 1 H, J = 12.2, 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 3.89 (dd, 1 H, J = 14.7, 7.0 Hz), 3.75 (dd, 1 H, J = 14.0, 7.4 Hz), 2.42 (m, 1 H), 2.26− 2.38 (m, 5 H), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.90 (m, 2 H), 1.75−1.64 (m, 1 H), 1.70 (quint, J = 7.0 Hz), 0.97−1.13 (m, 21 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  108.1, 80.7, 80.5, 77.6, 77.3, 68.4, 30.7, 28.3, 25.7, 25.6, 19.0, 18.6, 17.9, 11.3; IR 2942 (s), 2865 (s), 2361 (w), 2172 (m), 2172 (m), 1684 (w), 1683 (w), 1462 (m), 1374 (w), 1336 (w), 1320 (w), 1070 (s), 999 (w), 921 (w), 883 (m); HRMS (APPI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{36}OSi^+$  $[M]$ <sup>+</sup> 332.2535, found 332.2532.

2-(4-(Benzyloxy)-4-methylpent-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (7ah). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6h (132 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (87.5 mg, 0.338 mmol, 85% yield):  $R_f$ 0.53 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44–7.33  $(m, 4 H)$ , 7.29  $(m, 1 H)$ , 4.66  $(s, 2 H)$ , 4.06  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 13.7, 6.9$ , 4.9 Hz), 3.94 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.2, 7.0, 7.0 Hz), 3.80 (ddd, 1 H, J = 7.4, 7.4, 6.4 Hz), 2.56 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.5, 4.8 Hz), 2.45 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.5, 7.2 Hz), 2.08 (m, 1 H), 2.02−1.85 (m, 2 H), 1.77 (ddt, J = 12.0, 8.8, 7.0 Hz), 1.56 (s, 6 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  139.2, 128.2, 127.6, 127.2, 83.7, 81.2, 77.2, 70.7, 68.5, 66.3, 30.6, 29.1, 25.7, 25.4; IR 3031 (w), 2980 (w), 2934 (w), 2907 (w), 2866 (w), 2865 (w), 2238 (w), 1497 (w), 1455 (w), 1454 (w), 1379 (w), 1360 (w), 1249 (w), 1187 (w), 1156 (m), 1063 (s), 1030 (w), 921 (w), 873 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{23}O_2^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 259.1693, found 259.1704.

Triisopropyl((2-methyl-5-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)pent-3-yn-2-yl) oxy)silane (7ai). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6i (166 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (94.0 mg, 0.290 mmol, 72% yield):  $R_f$  0.81 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.97 (ddd,1 H, J = 14.4, 6.7, 4.8 Hz), 3.88 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.2, 7.2, 6.3 Hz), 3.74 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.3, 7.4, 6.5 Hz), 2.47 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 4.7 Hz), 2.29 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.5, 7.9 Hz), 2.02 (m, 1 H), 1.96− 1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.68 (m, 1 H), 1.18−1.00 (m, 21 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 87.1, 78.9, 77.3, 68.3, 66.2, 33.4, 30.7, 25.7, 25.6, 18.3, 13.0; IR 2961 (m), 2943 (m), 2942 (m), 2890 (w), 2865 (m), 1754 (w), 1744 (w), 1464 (m), 1435 (w), 1434 (w), 1377 (w), 1360 (w), 1245 (m), 1244 (m), 1162 (s), 1050 (s), 1049 (s), 1017 (w), 996 (w), 969 (w), 956 (w), 935 (w), 920 (w), 904 (w), 882 (m); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{37}O_2Si^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 325.2557, found 325.2550.

2-(4-(Benzyloxy)dec-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (**7aj**). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6j (161 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (79.6 mg, 0.253 mmol, 63% yield; inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers, d.r. 1/1; the differentiation between the two diastereoisomers is only visible in <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum):  $R_f$  0.62 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41–7.31 (m, 4 H), 7.28 (m, 1 H), 4.79 (d, 1 H,  $J = 11.8$  Hz), 4.51 (d, 1 H,  $J = 11.8$ Hz), 4.12−4.01 (m, 3 H), 3.92 (ddd, 1 H, J = 7.6, 6.7, 6.7 Hz), 3.78  $(dd, 1 H, J = 14.1, 7.4 Hz$ , 2.56  $(dd, 1 H, J = 16.5, 4.9, 1.8 Hz$ , 2.44 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.5, 7.1, 0.9, 0.9 Hz), 2.06 (m, 1 H), 2.01−1.84 (m, 2 H), 1.81−1.66 (m, 3 H), 1.55−1.38 (m, 2 H), 1.40−1.22 (m, 6 H), 0.83 (m, 3 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.2, 128.2, 127.9, 127.5, 82.7, 80.5, 80.5, 77.2, 70.2, 68.9, 68.4, 36.0, 31.7, 30.7, 28.9, 25.7, 25.5, 25.3, 22.5, 14.0; IR 3062 (w), 3027 (w), 2927 (m), 2914 (m), 2908 (m), 2867 (m), 1690 (w), 1651 (w), 1645 (w), 1634 (w), 1624 (w), 1604 (w), 1586 (w), 1557 (w), 1543 (w), 1496 (m), 1454 (s), 1430 (m), 1406 (m), 1381 (w), 1357 (w), 1341 (w), 1249 (w), 1199 (w), 1186 (w), 1156 (m), 1080 (m), 1059 (s), 1031 (m), 1002 (w), 960 (w), 911 (w), 875 (w), 840 (w), 834 (w), 823 (w), 812 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{31}O_2^+$   $[M + H]^+$  315.2319, found 315.2320.

Triisopropyl((1-(tetrahydrofuran-2-yl)dec-2-yn-4-yl)oxy)silane (**7ak**). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol  $(5a)$ (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6k (199 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (118 mg, 0.310 mmol, 78% yield; inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers, d.r. 1/1; the differentiation between the two diastereoisomers is only visible in  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum):  $R_f$  0.77 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.44 (m, 1 H), 3.99 (ddd, 1 H, J = 13.9, 7.0, 4.7 Hz), 3.88  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.75 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.2, 7.4, 6.3 Hz), 2.50 (ddd, 1 H, J = 4.6, 2.0, 2.0 Hz), 2.33 (ddd, J = 4.6, 2.0, 2.0 Hz), 2.04 (m, 1 H), 1.98−1.81 (m, 2 H), 1.72 (m, 1 H), 1.69−1.62 (m, 2 H), 1.49−1.38 (m, 2 H), 1.36−1.21 (m, 6 H), 1.18−1.03 (m, 21 H), 0.89 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, resolved signals corresponding to different diastereoisomers are reported in italics)<sup>31</sup>  $\delta$  83.5, 83.5, 80.7, 68.4, 68.3, 63.2, 39.2, 31.8, 30.7, 29.1, 25.7, 25.7, 25.6, 25.6, 25.0, 22.6, 18.1, 18.0, 14.1; IR 2942 (s), 2895 (m), 2865 (s), [14](#page-18-0)64 (m), 1383 (w), 1383 (w), 1367 (w), 1341 (w), 1256 (w), 1250 (w), 1151 (w), 1089  $(s)$ , 1070  $(s)$ , 1014  $(w)$ , 998  $(w)$ , 920  $(w)$ , 883  $(m)$ .); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{45}O_2Si^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 381.3183, found 381.3196.

2-(4-(Benzyloxy)-4-methylpent-2-yn-1-yl)-5-phenyltetrahydrofuran (7bh). The title compound was prepared from alcohol 5b (65.0 mg, 0.401 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6h (132 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a colorless oil (96.3 mg, 0.288 mmol, 72% yield): $32 R_f$ 0.65 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40–7.28 (m, 7 H), 7.28−7.20 (m, 3 H), 5.06 (dd, 1 H, J = 7.9, 6.7 Hz), 4.[65](#page-18-0) (s,  $2 H$ ), 4.37 (ddd, 1 H, J = 13.6, 6.9, 4.7 Hz), 2.62 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.6, 4.6 Hz), 2.53 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 16.5, 7.2$  Hz), 2.38 (m, 1 H), 2.21 (m, 1 H), 2.00−1.82 (m, 2 H), 1.54 (s, 6 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 143.2, 139.2, 128.3, 128.3, 127.6, 127.2, 127.2, 125.5, 84.0, 82.2, 81.1, 77.9, 70.8, 66.4, 35.2, 31.5, 29.2, 25.8; IR 3063 (w), 3030 (w), 2980 (w), 2933 (w), 2912 (w), 2911 (w), 2865 (w), 1604 (w), 1495 (w), 1453 (w), 1380 (w), 1359 (w), 1249 (w), 1186 (w), 1156 (m), 1084 (m), 1057 (s), 1031 (m), 1002 (w), 967 (w), 922 (w), 874 (w), 818 (w); HRMS (ESI) HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{27}O_2^+$   $[M + H]^+$ 335.2006, found 335.2006.

2,5-Di(non-2-yn-1-yl)tetrahydrofuran (7ca). The title compound was prepared from alcohol 5c (77.7 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol) following the general procedure, but using 0.10 equiv of  $Pd(dba)$ <sub>2</sub> (23.0 mg, 0.0400 mmol) and 0.15 equiv of DPEPHos (32.3 mg, 0.0600 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (69.9 mg, 0.221 mmol, 55% yield, 95% pure; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. trans/cis  $87/13$ ):<sup>33</sup> R<sub>f</sub> 0.64 (Hexane/ EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.14 (m, 2 H), 2.42  $(dddd, 2 H, J = 13.1, 7.3, 2.5, 2.5 Hz$  $(dddd, 2 H, J = 13.1, 7.3, 2.5, 2.5 Hz$  $(dddd, 2 H, J = 13.1, 7.3, 2.5, 2.5 Hz$ , 2.30  $(dddd, 2 H, J = 16.4, 7.3,$ 2.4, 2.4 Hz), 2.16−2.06 (m, 4 H), 1.76 (m, 2 H), 1.45 (quint, 4 H, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.35 (m, 2 H), 1.31−1.22 (m, 12 H), 0.87 (t, 6 H, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, resolved signals corresponding to the minor diastereoisomer are reported in italics)  $\delta$  81.8, 81.6, 78.4, 78.0, 76.5, 76.3, 31.3, 30.9, 30.2, 28.9, 28.9, 28.5, 28.5, 25.8, 25.7, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 2956 (m), 2929 (s), 2871 (m), 2857 (m), 1581 (w), 1465 (m), 1444 (w), 1435 (w), 1379 (w), 1367 (w), 1359 (w), 1345

(w), 1330 (w), 1314 (w), 1068 (s), 911 (m), 888 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{37}O^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 317.2839, found 317.2847.

tert-Butyl 2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10aa). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc-4-pentenamine (9a) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (84.4 mg, 0.288 mmol, 72% yield):  $R_f$ 0.60 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10aa'). The title compound was obtained as a yellow oil (62.6 mg, 0.324 mmol, quantitative):  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  3.16 (dt, 1 H, J = 13.0, 6.5 Hz), 3.02 (ddd, 1 H, J  $= 10.4, 7.5, 5.6$  Hz), 2.85 (ddd, J = 10.3, 7.6, 6.7 Hz), 2.37–2.25 (m, 2 H), 2.14 (tt, 2 H, J = 6.9, 2.4 Hz), 2.05 (m, 1 H, br s), 1.86 (m, 1 H), 1.81−1.68 (m, 2 H), 1.51−1.41 (m, 3 H), 1.41−1.20 (m, 6 H), 0.88 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  81.7, 77.3, 57.7, 46.6, 31.3, 30.7, 29.0, 28.5, 25.5, 25.4, 22.6, 18.7, 14.0; IR 3332 (br w), 2956 (s), 2928 (s), 2857 (s), 2116 (w), 1748 (w), 1748 (w), 1725 (w), 1725 (w), 1692 (w), 1612 (w), 1554 (w), 1462 (w), 1429 (w), 1429 (w), 1403 (w), 1352 (w), 1331 (w), 1283 (w), 1245 (w), 1152 (w), 1092 (w), 957 (w), 956 (w), 911 (w), 810 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{24}N^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 194.19033, found 194.19044.

tert-Butyl 2-(6-((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)hex-2-yn-1-yl) pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10ad). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc-4-pentenamine (9a) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6d (208 mg, 0.519 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (144 mg, 0.288 mmol, 72% yield):  $R_f$  0.50 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(6-((tert-Butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)hex-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10ad'). The title compound was obtained as a yellow oil  $(117 \text{ mg})$ , 0.288 mmol, quantitative):  $^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.73 (dd, 4 H, J = 7.4, 1.6 Hz), 7.42–7.33 (m, 6 H), 3.71 (t, 2 H, J = 5.7 Hz), 3.09  $(\text{ddd}, 1 \text{ H}, I = 12.8, 6.2, 6.2 \text{ Hz}), 3.05–2.86 \text{ (m, 2 H)}, 2.94 \text{ (ddd, 1 H)},$  $J = 10.5, 7.5, 5.5 Hz$ , 2.76 (ddd, 2 H,  $J = 10.5, 7.0, 7.0 Hz$ ), 2.34–2.20  $(m, 3 H)$ , 1.76–1.62  $(m, 2 H)$ , 1.71 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.41 (dddd, 1 H,  $J = 12.5, 7.5, 7.5, 7.5$  Hz), 1.05 (s, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  135.5, 133.8, 129.6, 127.6, 83.4, 74.0, 62.4, 58.6, 45.9, 31.6, 29.6, 26.8, 23.3, 22.1, 19.2, 15.2; IR 3341 (w), 3069 (w), 3049 (w), 2953 (m), 2932 (m), 2889 (m), 2888 (m), 2856 (m), 1688 (m), 1591 (w), 1468 (m), 1429 (s), 1393 (w), 1393 (w), 1359 (w), 1359 (w), 1334 (w), 1305 (w), 1304 (w), 1259 (w), 1238 (w), 1204 (w), 1204 (w), 1191 (w), 1191 (w), 1145 (w), 1111 (s), 1063 (m), 1004 (w), 1004 (w), 970 (w), 936 (w), 876 (m), 876 (m), 822 (m); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{26}H_{36}NOSi^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 406.2561, found 406.2562.

tert-Butyl 2-(7-phenylhept-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10ae). The title compound was prepared from  $N$ -Boc-4-pentenamine (9a) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6e (116 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (101 mg, 0.308 mmol, 77% yield):  $R_f$  0.52 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(6-Phenylhex-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10ae'). The title compound was obtained as a yellow oil (52.3 mg, 0.230 mmol, 74% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.23−7.18 (m, 3 H), 3.80  $(br s, 1 H)$ , 3.28 (ddd, 1 H, J = 13.3, 7.0, 6.4 Hz), 3.10 (ddd, 1 H, J = 10.5, 7.5, 5.8 Hz), 2.95 (ddd,1 H, J = 10.6, 7.9, 6.7 Hz), 2.73 (t, 2 H, J  $= 7.5$  Hz), 2.44–2.39 (m, 2 H), 2.20 (tt, 2 H, J = 7.0, 2.3 Hz), 1.96 (m, 1 H), 1.90−1.74 (m, 4 H), 1.83 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.56 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  141.7, 128.4, 128.3, 125.8, 81.5, 77.4, 57.8, 46.4, 34.8, 30.6, 30.6, 25.2, 24.9, 18.2; IR 3087 (w), 3078 (w), 3063 (w), 3062 (w), 3026 (w), 3008 (w), 2995 (w), 2939 (s), 2932 (s), 2861 (m), 2860 (m), 2112 (w), 2111 (w), 2108 (w), 2107 (w), 2091 (w), 2090 (w), 2089 (w), 1950 (w), 1949 (w), 1944 (w), 1943 (w), 1687 (s), 1635 (w), 1603 (w), 1496 (m), 1455 (s), 1430 (m), 1408 (m), 1353 (w), 1352 (w), 1334 (w), 1294 (w), 1229 (w), 1201 (s), 1176 (s), 1175 (s), 1130 (m), 1101 (w), 1090 (w), 1089 (w), 1082 (w), 1057 (w), 1050 (w), 1032 (w), 965 (w), 954 (w), 943

(w), 911 (m), 882 (w), 881 (w), 861 (w), 827 (m), 814 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{22}N^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 228.1747, found 228.1749.

tert-Butyl 2-(4-(benzyloxy)-4-methylpent-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine-1 carboxylate (10ah). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc-4pentenamine (9a) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6h (132 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (106 mg, 0.298 mmol, 74% yield, mixture of rotamers):  $R_f$  0.64 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39–7.29 (m, 3 H), 7.25 (m, 2 H), 4.60 (s, 2 H), 3.94 (m, 1 H), 3.82 (m, 1 H), 3.49−3.29 (m, 2 H), 2.66 (m, 1 H), 2.57 (m, 1 H), 2.45 (m, 1 H), 2.09−1.86 (m, 3 H), 1.79  $(m, 1 H)$ , 1.51 (s, 6 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H), 1.46 (m, 9 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101) MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>; the signals of resolved rotamers are reported in *italics*)  $\delta$ 154.3, 154.2, 139.2, 128.2, 127.6, 127.2, 84.0, 83.6, 81.9, 81.5, 79.3, 79.1, 70.7, 66.3, 56.2, 56.1, 47.1, 46.7, 30.7, 29.9, 29.1, 28.5, 24.2, 23.6, 23.4, 22.9; IR 2979 (w), 2933 (w), 2932 (w), 2931 (w), 2926 (w), 2911 (w), 2910 (w), 2909 (w), 2881 (w), 2880 (w), 2879 (w), 1733 (w), 1696 (s), 1656 (w), 1655 (w), 1654 (w), 1571 (w), 1571 (w), 1570 (w), 1554 (w), 1553 (w), 1512 (w), 1455 (w), 1455 (w), 1396 (s), 1364 (w), 1341 (w), 1281 (w), 1280 (w), 1252 (w), 1251 (w), 1241 (w), 1240 (w), 1164 (m), 1163 (m), 1120 (w), 1096 (w), 1057 (w), 960 (w), 929 (w), 905 (w), 813 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{32}NO_3^+$  [M] 358.2382, found 358.2374.

tert-Butyl 2-(4-(benzyloxy)dec-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10aj). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc-4 pentenamine (9a) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6j (161 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (104 mg, 0.251 mmol, 63% yield):  $R_f$  0.54 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

(4-(Benzyloxy)dec-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10aj′). The title compound was obtained as a dark yellow oil (76.6 mg, 0.244 mmol, 97% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41–7.30 (m, 4 H), 7.28 (m, 1 H), 4.77 (d, 1 H, J = 11.8 Hz), 4.49 (d, 1 H, J = 11.8 Hz), 4.07 (tt, 1 H,  $J = 6.5$ , 1.8 Hz), 3.23 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 13.1$ , 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 3.03 (m, 1 H), 2.88 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 10.3, 7.2, 7.2$  Hz), 2.42 (dd, 2 H,  $J = 6.1, 1.8$ Hz), 1.97−1.86 (m, 2 H), 1.85−1.61 (m, 3 H), 1.56−1.37 (m, 3 H), 1.35−1.21 (m, 6 H), 0.87 (t, 3 H, J = 6.5 Hz); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 139.9, 128.3, 127.9, 127.6, 81.1, 77.0, 70.4, 69.0, 57.6, 46.3, 36.0, 31.8, 30.6, 29.0, 25.4, 25.1, 24.8, 22.6, 14.1; IR 3395 (br w), 3032 (w), 2953 (s), 2928 (s), 2857 (s), 1737 (m), 1715 (w), 1690 (m), 1679 (m), 1642 (m), 1543 (w), 1497 (w), 1456 (m), 1435 (w), 1385 (w), 1380 (w), 1337 (w), 1313 (w), 1287 (w), 1286 (w), 1236 (w), 1209 (w), 1209 (w), 1169 (w), 1154 (w), 1154 (w), 1089 (s), 1070 (s), 1029 (m), 919 (w), 912 (w), 822 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{32}NO^{+} [M + H]^{+}$  314.2478, found 314.2486.

tert-Butyl 2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)-5-phenylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10ba). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc amine 9b (105 mg, 0.402 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (110 mg, 0.298 mmol, 74% yield):  $R_f$  0.60 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)-5-phenylpyrrolidine (10ba′). The title compound was obtained as a dark yellow oil (76.6 mg, 0.244 mmol, 97% yield; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. trans/cis 10/ 90):<sup>34</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.40 (m, 2 H), 7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.23 (m, 2 H), 4.17 (dd, J = 7.4, 7.4 Hz), 3.36 (ddd, J = 13.2, 6.1, 6.1 Hz)[, 2](#page-18-0).45 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 5.8, 2.3, 2.3 Hz), 2.38 (m, 1 H), 2.20− 2.11 (m, 3 H), 1.98 (m, 1 H), 1.79−1.63 (m, 2 H), 1.48 (quint, 2 H, J  $= 7.0$  Hz), 1.43–1.32 (m, 2 H), 1.33–1.22 (m, 4 H), 0.88 (t, J = 7.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 144.7, 128.2, 126.7, 126.6, 81.7, 77.6, 62.7, 57.9, 34.2, 31.3, 30.7, 29.0, 28.5, 26.0, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 3063 (w), 3028 (w), 2955 (m), 2924 (s), 2853 (s), 1738 (w), 1603 (w), 1492 (w), 1458 (m), 1434 (w), 1400 (w), 1399 (w), 1377 (w), 1250 (w), 1109 (w), 1075 (w), 1028 (w), 910 (s), 828 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $\rm{C_{19}H_{28}N^{+}}$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 270.2216, found 270.2225.

tert-Butyl 2-heptyl-5-(non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (**10ca**). The title compound was prepared from  $N$ -Boc amine  $9c$ (108 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.3 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (77.0 mg, 0.204 mmol, 51% yield):  $R_f$  0.89 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-Heptyl-5-(non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10ca'). The title compound was obtained as a dark yellow oil (52.3 mg, 0.188 mmol, 94% yield; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. trans/cis > 3/ 97):<sup>35</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.13 (dddd, 1 H, J = 7.5, 6.0, 6.0, 6.0 Hz), 2.97 (dddd, J = 8.0, 6.5, 6.5, 6.5 Hz), 2.32 (m, 2 H), 2.13  $(\text{tt}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{J} = 6.9, 2.3 \text{ Hz}), 1.88-1.77 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 1.53-1.42 \text{ (m, 5 H)},$  $(\text{tt}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{J} = 6.9, 2.3 \text{ Hz}), 1.88-1.77 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 1.53-1.42 \text{ (m, 5 H)},$  $(\text{tt}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{J} = 6.9, 2.3 \text{ Hz}), 1.88-1.77 \text{ (m, 3 H)}, 1.53-1.42 \text{ (m, 5 H)},$ 1.41−1.21 (m, 14 H), 0.91−0.83 (m, 6 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 81.8, 77.3, 59.6, 57.7, 36.7, 31.8, 31.7, 31.4, 30.3, 29.5, 29.0, 28.5, 27.4, 25.5, 22.6, 22.6, 18.7, 14.1, 14.0; IR 2957 (m), 2927 (m), 2856 (m), 2184 (w), 2183 (w), 1464 (w), 1434 (w), 1433 (w), 1404 (w), 1403 (w), 1379 (w), 1127 (w), 1122 (w), 1100 (w), 1084 (w), 991 (w), 990 (w), 962 (w), 909 (s), 879 (w).1032 (w), 965 (w), 954 (w), 943 (w), 911 (m), 882 (w), 881 (w), 861 (w), 827 (m), 814 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{36}N^+$   $[M + H]^+$  278.2842, found 278.2846.

tert-Butyl 2-(but-3-en-1-yl)-5-(non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (10da). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc amine 9d (95.0 mg, 0.397 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (83.4 mg, 0.240 mmol, 60% yield):  $R_f$  0.58 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(But-3-en-1-yl)-5-(non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10da′). The title compound was obtained as a dark yellow oil (59.1 mg, 0.239 mmol, 99% yield; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. *trans/cis* 5/<br>95):<sup>36 1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.81 (m, 1 H), 5.00 (dddd, 1 H,  $J = 17.1, 6.5, 2.0, 2.0 Hz$ , 4.92 (m, 1 H), 3.14 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 18.6, 6.0$ , 6.0 [Hz](#page-18-0)), 3.00 (ddd, 1 H, J = 19.6, 5.5, 5.5 Hz), 2.35 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.1, 8.0, 2.5, 2.5 Hz), 2.27 (m, 1 H), 2.18−2.03 (m, 4 H), 1.96 (br s, 1 H), 1.90−1.76 (m, 2 H), 1.59 (dddd, 1 H, J = 13.6, 8.5, 7.0, 7.0 Hz), 1.55−1.40 (m, 4 H), 1.40−1.20 (m, 7 H), 0.86 (t, 3 H, J = 6.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.6, 114.3, 81.7, 77.3, 58.9, 57.7, 35.7, 31.6, 31.5, 31.3, 30.2, 29.0, 28.5, 25.6, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 3076 (w), 2956 (m), 2928 (s), 2857 (m), 1714 (w), 1698 (w), 1682 (w), 1661 (w), 1641 (w), 1457 (w), 1435 (w), 1406 (w), 1379 (w), 1278 (w), 1177 (w), 1135 (w), 1115 (w), 995 (w), 910 (s); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{30}N^+$   $[M + H]^+$  248.2373, found 248.2371.

tert-Butyl 2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)hexahydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrole-1(2H)-carboxylate (10ea). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc amine 9e (90.1 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (98.5 mg, 0.295 mmol, 74% yield):  $R_f$  0.55 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)octahydrocyclopenta[b]pyrrole (10ea′). The title compound was obtained as a dark yellow oil (61.2 mg, 0.263 mmol, 89% yield; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. trans/cis  $>$  5/95): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.62 (dd, 1 H, J = 7.1, 7.1 Hz), 2.98 (ddd, 1 H, J = 16.2, 5.4, 5.4 Hz), 2.54 (dddd, J = 17.1, 8.0, 8.0, 2.5 Hz), 2.38 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 5.0, 2.4, 2.4 Hz), 2.28 (dddd, 1 H,  $J = 16.4, 4.9, 2.3, 2.3$  Hz), 2.13 (tt, 2 H,  $J = 6.9, 2.3$  Hz), 2.05 (ddd, 1 H, J = 12.2, 8.9, 5.2 Hz), 1.86 (br s, 1 H), 1.68−1.48 (m, 6 H), 1.46 (quint, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.36 (dt, 2 H, J = 16.1, 7.0 Hz), 1.31–1.21  $(m, 6 H)$ , 1.01 (ddd, 1 H, J = 11.8, 10.8, 8.9 Hz), 0.88 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7) Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 82.0, 77.0, 63.5, 58.8, 43.1, 39.8, 34.2, 33.1, 31.3, 29.0, 28.6, 24.1, 23.7, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 3344 (br w), 2951 (s), 2950 (s), 2930 (s), 2858 (s), 1696 (w), 1695 (w), 1694 (w), 1693 (w), 1692 (w), 1689 (w), 1683 (w), 1682 (w), 1641 (w), 1640 (w), 1465 (m), 1451 (m), 1434 (m), 1402 (w), 1379 (w), 1359 (w), 1348 (w), 1326 (w), 1298 (w), 1286 (w), 1277 (w), 1221 (w), 1179 (w), 1165 (w), 1126 (w), 1112 (w), 1091 (w), 1072 (w), 1046 (w), 1045 (w), 993 (w), 977 (w), 931 (w), 930 (w), 909 (m), 891 (w), 890 (w), 840 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{16}H_{28}N^{+}$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 234.2216, found 234.2218.

tert-Butyl 2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)octahydro-1H-indole-1-carboxylate (10fa). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc amine 9f (96.0 mg, 0.401 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (88.3 mg, 0.254 mmol, 64% yield):  $R_f$  0.60 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)octahydro-1H-indole (10fa′). The title compound was obtained as a yellow oil (61.7 mg, 0.249 mmol, 98% yield; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r.  $trans/cis$  6/94):<sup>37</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.25 (dddd, 1 H, J = 8.0, 8.0, 6.0, 6.0 Hz), 3.05 (dd, 1 H, J = 10.8, 5.4 Hz), 2.43 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.1, 5[.0,](#page-18-0) 2.5, 2.5 Hz), 2.37 (dddd, 1 H, J = 16.1, 4.5, 2.0, 2.0 Hz), 2.16 (tt, 2 H, J  $= 6.9, 2.3$  Hz), 2.04 (m, 1 H), 1.93 (m, 1 H), 1.78 (s, 1 H), 1.65 (m, 2 H), 1.57−1.42 (m, 6 H), 1.42−1.20 (m, 9 H), 0.88 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  81.8, 77.5, 57.8, 56.9, 38.3, 36.6, 31.3, 29.1, 29.0, 28.6, 28.6, 26.3, 23.9, 22.5, 22.0, 18.8, 14.0; IR 2926 (s), 2854 (m), 1451 (w), 1403 (w), 1377 (w), 1377 (w), 1347 (w), 1347 (w), 1332 (w), 1332 (w), 1285 (w), 1285 (w), 1284 (w), 1284 (w), 1216 (w), 1083 (w), 1083 (w), 1028 (w), 1028 (w), 910 (w), 818 (w).; HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{30}N^{+}$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 248.2373, found 248.2369.

tert-Butyl 2-(10-bromodec-2-yn-1-yl)octahydro-1H-indole-1-carboxylate  $(10\text{ft})$ . The title compound was prepared from N-Boc amine 9f (96.0 mg, 0.401 mmol) and alkynyl bromide 6i (147 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (112 mg, 0.253 mmol, 63% yield, mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. trans/cis <  $5/95$ ):  $R_f$ 0.69 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, NMR spectra were acquired at 55  $^{\circ}$ C: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  3.83–3.68 (m, 2 H), 3.39 (t, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.76 (m, 1 H), 2.46 (dddd, J = 16.1, 7.7, 2.3, 2.3 Hz), 2.25− 2.10 (m, 3 H), 2.03−1.91 (m, 3 H), 1.87 (quint, 2 H, J = 6.9 Hz), 1.73 (m, 1 H), 1.69−1.58 (m, 3 H), 1.56−1.24 (m, 13 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H), 1.17 (tt, 1 H, J = 13.1, 3.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  154.5, 81.9, 78.9, 77.5, 58.1, 57.0, 36.0, 33.8, 33.3, 32.9, 29.2, 28.9, 28.6, 28.3, 28.1, 26.3, 25.7, 25.3, 24.2, 20.9, 18.8; IR 2929 (m), 2856 (w), 1690 (s), 1454 (w), 1394 (s), 1363 (m), 1295 (w), 1251 (w), 1164 (m), 1116 (m), 1096 (w), 971 (w), 907 (w), 863 (w), 862 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}^{79}BrH_{39}NO_2^+ [M + H]^+$  440.2159, found 440.2166.

tert-Butyl 2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)octahydrocyclohepta[b]pyrrole- $1(2H)$ -carboxylate (10ga). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc amine 9g (101 mg, 0.399 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (82.3 mg, 0.227 mmol, 57% yield):  $R_f$  0.60 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)decahydrocyclohepta[b]pyrrole (10ga′). The title compound was obtained as a dark yellow oil (53.4 mg, 0.204 mmol, 90% yield; mixture of inseparable diastereoisomers, d.r. trans/cis  $> 10/90$ ):<sup>38'</sup><sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.86 (br s, 1 H), 3.41  $(ddd, 1 H, J = 11.6, 9.5, 4.0 Hz$ , 3.20  $(ddd, J = 11.4, 11.4, 6.0 Hz$ , 2.42 (dt, 2 [H](#page-18-0), J = 5.9, 2.4 Hz), 2.33 (m, 1 H), 2.15 (m, 1 H), 2.11 (tt, J = 6.7, 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 1.89 (m, 1 H), 1.84−1.75 (m, 2 H), 1.63 (m, 1 H), 1.55 (dd, 1 H, J = 23.1, 11.1 Hz), 1.45 (m, 2 H), 1.39–1.11 (m, 11 H), 0.87 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  82.6, 75.8, 63.0, 57.9, 42.8, 39.7, 31.5, 31.4, 31.3, 31.1, 29.2, 28.9, 28.5, 26.4, 23.2, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 2921 (m), 2854 (w), 2853 (w), 2246 (w), 1680 (m), 1457 (w), 1445 (w), 1431 (w), 1430 (w), 1380 (w), 1202 (m), 1183 (m), 1182 (m), 1139 (m), 1036 (w), 1028 (w), 1016 (w), 1008 (w), 998 (w), 909 (s), 835 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{32}N^+$  [M  $+ H$ <sup>+</sup> 262.2529, found 262.2519.

tert-Butyl 2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)indoline-1-carboxylate (10ha). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc aniline 9h (93.3 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.0 mg, 0.521 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (109 mg, 0.319 mmol, 80% yield):  $R_f$  0.55 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)indoline (10ha′). The title compound was obtained as an orange oil (74.7 mg, 0.309 mmol, 90% yield):  $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.07 (d, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz), 7.01 (td, 1 H, J  $= 7.6, 0.5$  Hz), 6.69 (m, 1 H), 6.62 (d, 1 H, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.10 (br s, 1 H), 3.95 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 13.4$ , 8.6, 6.2 Hz), 3.17 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 15.7$ , 8.7 Hz), 2.75 (dd, 1 H, J = 15.7, 6.1 Hz), 2.38 (dd, 1 H, J = 4.8, 2.4 Hz), 2.35 (dd, 1 H,  $J = 3.8$ , 2.4 Hz), 2.16 (tt, 2 H,  $J = 6.9$ , 2.3 Hz), 1.49 (quint, 2 H), 1.44−1.20 (m, 6 H), 0.90 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  150.2, 128.0, 127.3, 124.8, 118.6, 109.2, 82.2, 77.0, 58.6, 35.5, 31.3, 28.9, 28.5, 26.3, 22.5, 18.7, 14.0; IR 3372 (w), 2954 (w), 2929 (m), 2856 (w), 1731 (w), 1692 (m), 1610 (m), 1591 (w), 1514 (m), 1485 (m), 1466 (m), 1455 (m), 1411 (w), 1366 (w), 1322 (w), 1301 (w), 1245 (s), 1158 (s), 1119 (w), 1049 (w), 1023 (w), 918 (w), 898 (w), 879 (w), 842 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{17}H_{24}N^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 242.1903, found 242.1901.

2-(Non-2-yn-1-yl)-1-phenylpyrrolidine (10ia). The title compound was prepared from N-phenyl amine 9i (64.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (97.8 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (85.3 mg, 0.317 mmol, 79% yield):  $R_f$  0.55 (Hexane/EtOAc 10/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.21 (dd, 2 H, J = 8.4, 7.4 Hz), 6.67 (t, 1 H, J = 7.3 Hz), 6.60 (d, 2 H, J = 8.1 Hz), 3.86 (m, 1 H), 3.43  $(m, 1 H)$ , 3.17  $(m, 1 H)$ , 2.58 (dd, 1 H, J = 16.4, 2.3 Hz), 2.17 (tt, 2 H,  $J = 6.9, 2.3 \text{ Hz}$ , 2.14–1.95 (m, 5 H), 1.49 (q, 2 H,  $J = 6.8 \text{ Hz}$ ), 1.40 (m, 2 H), 1.35−1.24 (m, 4 H), 0.90 (t, 3 H, J = 6.7 Hz); 13C NMR  $(101 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$  δ 146.8, 129.2, 115.6, 111.8, 81.9, 77.6, 58.3, 48.3, 31.4, 30.3, 29.0, 28.6, 23.0, 22.9, 22.6, 18.8, 14.1; IR 2955 (m), 2930 (s), 2929 (s), 2856 (m), 1699 (w), 1599 (s), 1505 (s), 1483 (w), 1482 (w), 1463 (w), 1366 (s), 1347 (m), 1346 (m), 1296 (w), 1244 (w), 1216 (w), 1215 (w), 1179 (w), 1178 (w), 1161 (w), 1036 (w), 1036 (w), 994 (w), 958 (w), 958 (w), 911 (w), 858 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{28}N^+$   $[M + H]^+$  270.2216, found 270.2222.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(non-2-yn-1-yl)pyrrolidine (10ja). The title compound was prepared from N-para-methoxyphenyl amine 9j (76.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (97.8 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a yellow oil (71.0 mg, 0.237 mmol, 59% yield):  $R_f$  0.79 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.85 (m, 2 H), 6.56 (m, 2 H), 3.83–3.74 (m, 1 H), 3.76 (d, 2 H, J = 1.6 Hz), 3.41 (m, 1 H), 3.12 (m, 1 H), 2.55 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 16.5, 2.3$ , 2.3 Hz), 2.17 (m, 2 H), 2.13−1.95 (m, 5 H), 1.52 (m, 2 H), 1.46−1.24 (m, 6 H), 0.91 (t, 3 H, J = 6.8 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 150.9, 141.8, 115.1, 112.6, 81.8, 77.7, 58.8, 56.0, 49.0, 31.4, 30.4, 29.0, 28.6, 23.2, 22.6, 18.8, 14.1; IR 3045 (w), 2952 (m), 2930 (m), 2857 (w), 2834 (w), 1622 (w), 1574 (w), 1515 (s), 1485 (w), 1463 (w), 1365 (w), 1340 (w), 1329 (w), 1284 (w), 1242 (s), 1179 (w), 1044 (m), 980 (w), 961 (w), 960 (w), 911 (w), 888 (w), 813 (m); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{20}H_{30}NO^{+}$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 300.2322, found 300.2319.

General Procedure for the Oxy- and Aminoalkynylation/in **Situ Complete Hydrogenation.** Under inert atmosphere,  $Pd(dba)_2$ (11.5 mg, 0.0200 mmol, 0.05 equiv), DPEPHos (16.1 mg, 0.0300 mmol, 0.075 equiv) and NaOtBu (50.0 mg, 0.520 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were introduced into a 5 mL vial, which was then sealed. Toluene was added (4.6 mL), followed by the bromo acetylene 6 (0.520 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and the starting material 5 or 9 (0.40 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 3 h and then allowed to cool to rt. Toluene was removed under reduced pressure, and MeOH was added (2.5−3.0 mL) followed by palladium on charcoal (two portions of ca. 50 mg). The mixture was purged with  $H_2$  over 10 min and stirred under a H<sub>2</sub>-atmosphere for 24 h. Upon filtration through Celite, the solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude mixture was then directly purified by column chromatography ( $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ , pentane/EtOAc 98/2 to 96/4).

2-Nonyltetrahydrofuran (11aa). The title compound was prepared from 4-penten-1-ol (5a) (34.4 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.3 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (40.0 mg, 0.202 mmol, 51% yield): R<sub>f</sub> 0.83 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  3.85 (ddd, 1 H, J = 8.5, 7.0, 6.0 Hz), 3.77 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 14.6, 7.0, 6.0$  Hz), 3.70 (ddd, 1 H,  $J = 7.5, 7.5, 6.0$  Hz), 1.95 (m, 1 H), 1.91−1.79 (m, 2 H), 1.56 (m, 1 H), 1.48−1.20 (m, 14 H), 1.47− 1.38 (m, 2 H), 0.87 (t, 3 H, J = 6.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 79.5, 67.6, 35.7, 31.9, 31.4, 29.8, 29.6, 29.6, 29.3, 26.4, 25.7, 22.7, 14.1; IR 2957 (m), 2923 (s), 2872 (m), 2854 (s), 1489 (w), 1465 (w), 1379 (w), 1189 (w), 1180 (w), 1179 (w), 1178 (w), 1177 (w), 1174 (w), 1173 (w), 1070 (m), 1039 (w), 1026 (w), 943 (w), 922 (w), 904 (w), 870 (w), 861 (w), 850 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{27}O^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 199.2056, found 199.2056.

tert-Butyl 2-nonylpyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (12aa). The title compound was prepared from N-Boc-4-pentenamine (9a) (74.5 mg, 0.400 mmol) and octynyl bromide (6a) (98.3 mg, 0.520 mmol). It was obtained as a pale yellow oil (87.1 mg, 0.293 mmol, 73% yield):  $R_f$  0.83 (Hexane/EtOAc 5/1). The product was obtained as a mixture of rotamers. In order to characterize it, it was subjected to deprotection of the amino group according to the general procedure.

2-Nonylpyrrolidine (12aa'). The title compound was obtained as a yellow oil (61.1 mg, 0.310 mmol, quantitative): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.98 (br s, 1 H), 3.13–3.04 (m, 2 H), 2.94 (ddd, 1 H, J = 10.9, 8.3, 6.4 Hz), 1.95 (m, 1 H), 1.89−1.72 (m, 2 H), 1.58 (m, 1 H), 1.50−1.40 (m, 1 H), 1.39−1.20 (m, 15 H), 0.86 (t, 3 H, J = 6.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  59.7, 45.7, 34.9, 31.9, 31.3, 29.6, 29.5, 29.5, 29.3, 27.2, 24.7, 22.6, 14.1; IR 3424 (w), 2957 (m), 2924 (s), 2873 (w), 2854 (s), 1678 (s), 1525 (w), 1524 (w), 1460 (m), 1414 (m), 1379 (w), 1344 (w), 1201 (s), 1176 (s), 1133 (s), 1047 (w), 1036 (w), 1026 (w), 937 (w), 930 (w), 929 (w), 909 (w), 908 (w), 899 (w), 831 (m), 815 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{13}H_{28}N^+$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 198.2216, found 198.2219.

 $[Pd(allyl)(cod)](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>.$ 

$$
[Pd(allyl)Cl]_2 \quad \xrightarrow{\text{AgBF}_4, \text{cod}} \quad [Pd(allyl)(\text{cod})](\text{BF}_4)_2
$$
\n
$$
CH_2Cl_2, \text{rt}
$$

Following a reported procedure,<sup>39</sup>  $[Pd(ally)Cl]$ <sub>2</sub> (300 mg, 0.820) mmol, 1.0 equiv) and  $AgBF<sub>4</sub>$  (314 mg, 1.61 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were introduced into a 2-necked flask u[nd](#page-18-0)er  $N_2$ . CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8.0 mL) was then added, and the solution stirred at room temperature for 15 min. Cod (0.33 mL, 1.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was then added, and the solution was stirred for 1 h. Upon removal of the formed precipitate by filtration through Celite, the resulting solution was treated with  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  (50 mL) in order to induce the precipitation of the product. The latter was removed by filtration and washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 10$  mL) and dried in vacuo. The solid was taken up in  $CH_2Cl_2$  and passed through a cotton wool plug; Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $2 \times 15$  mL) was again added to precipitate out the product, which was dried in vacuo. Complex  $[Pd(\text{allyl})(\text{cod})](BF_4)_2$ was finally obtained as a colorless solid (354 mg, 1.04 mmol, 63% yield): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.28 (broad d, 4 H), 6.10 (tt, J  $= 13.4, 7.3$  Hz, 1 H), 4.98, (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 3.98 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 2 H), 2.75−2.55 (m, 6 H), 2.36 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  125.6, 114.0, 112.9, 29.4. The values for the characterization correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>4</sup>

[(Cinnamyl)PdCp].

$$
\begin{array}{cc}\n\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\downarrow \\
Pd^{\parallel} \\
C^{\prime} \\
Pd^{\parallel}\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\uparrow\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\uparrow\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\n\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow\n\end{array}\n\begin{array}{c}\nPd^0 \\
Pd^0 \\
Pn\n\end{array}
$$

Following a slightly modified version of a reported procedure, $10$  $[Pd(cinnamyl)Cl]_2$  (300 mg, 0.579 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was dissolved in THF (10 mL), and the solution was cooled to −78 °C. A soluti[on](#page-17-0) prepared by diluting NaCp (2.0 M, 0.72 mL, 1.4 mmol, 2.5 equiv) with THF (10 mL) was then added dropwise, and the resulting purple mixture was stirred at −78  $^{\circ}{\rm C}$  for 5 min. It was then allowed to warm to rt and subsequently cooled to 0 °C. The solvent was removed from the cooled solution under reduced pressure and hexane (10 mL) was added. The dissolved solids were filtered off, and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to obtain an oily purple solid. Upon addition of hexane (1.5 mL), crystallization at −40 °C afforded [(Cinnamyl)- PdCp] (317 mg, 0.550 mmol, 95% yield) as a dark-purple crystalline solid: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>7</sub>D<sub>8</sub>)  $\delta$  7.28–7.22 (m, 2 H), 7.04–6.96  $(m, 3 H)$ , 5.63  $(s, 5 H)$ , 5.14 (ddd, 1 H, J = 10.3, 10.3, 6.2 Hz), 3.84  $(d, 1 H, J = 9.8 Hz)$ , 3.36  $(d, 1 H, J = 6.1 Hz)$ , 2.16  $(d, 1 H, J = 10.5$ Hz). The values for the characterization of [(Cinnamyl)PdCp] correspond to the ones reported in literature.<sup>10</sup>

<span id="page-17-0"></span>Large Scale Reaction for the Synthesis of 7bh. Under inert atmosphere,  $Pd(dba)_2$  (53.2 mg, 0.0925 mmol, 0.05 equiv), DPEPHos (74.9 mg, 0.139 mmol, 0.075 equiv) and NaOtBu (232 mg, 2.41 mmol, 1.3 equiv) were introduced into a 50 mL one-neck flask. Toluene (21.3 mL) was added under an argon atmosphere, followed by the bromo acetylene 6h (610 mg, 2.41 mmol, 1.3 equiv) and alcohol 5b (300 mg, 1.85 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 3 h and then allowed to cool to room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was then directly purified by column chromatography  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>)$ , pentane/ EtOAc 99/1). Fractions containing the inseparable mixture of alkyne 15 and dimer 16 were collected and purified again  $(SiO<sub>2</sub>, 98/2)$ pentane/Et<sub>2</sub>O). The isolation and identification showed that 13% of 5b (39.5 mg, 0.243 mmol) was recovered, 55% of 7bh (338 mg, 1.01 mmol) was formed, and the following side-products were generated: ketone 13 (12.8 mg, 0.0799 mmol, 4%), hydroxy ketone 14 (40.9 mg, 0.230 mmol, 12%), alkyne 15 (21.9 mg, 0.126 mmol, 5%) and dimer 16 (152 mg, 0.438 mmol, 36%).

1-Phenylpent-4-en-1-one (13).  $R_f$  0.37 (Pentane/EtOAc 20/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.96 (m, 2 H), 7.56 (m, 1 H), 7.46 (m, 2 H), 5.91 (m, 1 H), 5.09 (dd, 1 H, J = 17.1, 1.5 Hz), 5.01 (d, 1 H, J = 10.2 Hz), 3.07 (ddd, 2 H, J = 7.3, 7.3, 2.0 Hz), 2.50 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 199.4, 137.3, 136.9, 133.0, 128.6, 128.0, 115.2, 37.7, 28.1. The data for the characterization of compound 13 correspond to the ones reported in the literature.<sup>41</sup>

5-Hydroxy-5-phenylpentan-2-one (14).  $R_f$  0.05 (Pentane/EtOAc 20/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.37−7.30 [\(m](#page-18-0), 3 H), 7.27 (m, 2 H), 4.72 (t, 1 H, J = 6.3 Hz), 2.55 (t, 2 H, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.13 (s, 3 H), 2.02 (m, 2 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  209.1, 143.9, 128.2, 127.2, 125.4, 73.1, 39.5, 32.3, 29.7. The data for the characterization of compound 14 correspond to the ones reported in the literature.<sup>42</sup>

(((2-Methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)methyl)benzene (15).  $R_f$  0.47 (Pentane/EtOAc 20/1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41–7.31 [\(m](#page-18-0), 4 H), 7.27 (m, 1 H), 4.65 (s, 2 H), 2.49 (s, 1 H), 1.57 (s, 6 H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 138.9, 128.3, 127.7, 127.3, 86.1, 72.2, 70.5, 66.5, 28.8. The data for the characterization of compound 15 correspond to the ones reported in the literature.<sup>4</sup>

(((4-Bromo-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl)oxy)methyl)benzene (16).  $R_f$ 0.40 (Pentane/EtOAc 20/1); Mp 51.6–53.4 °[C;](#page-18-0) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.37 (m, 8 H), 7.28 (m, 2 H), 4.65 (s, 4 H), 1.59 (s, 12 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.6, 128.3, 127.7, 127.4, 81.7, 71.0, 68.5, 66.8, 28.7; IR 3091 (w), 3067 (w), 3066 (w), 3063 (w), 3048 (w), 3033 (w), 2985 (m), 2934 (w), 2905 (w), 2865 (w), 2864 (w), 2838 (w), 2374 (w), 2357 (w), 2150 (w), 1948 (w), 1871 (w), 1810 (w), 1745 (w), 1705 (w), 1606 (w), 1517 (w), 1498 (w), 1464 (w), 1456 (m), 1438 (w), 1382 (m), 1360 (w), 1339 (w), 1330 (w), 1330 (w), 1312 (w), 1303 (w), 1223 (m), 1185 (m), 1157 (s), 1122 (w), 1105 (w), 1086 (m), 1054 (s), 1030 (m), 1003 (w), 941 (w), 925 (w), 912 (w), 883 (m), 847 (w), 828 (w), 821 (w); HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{24}H_{26}NaO_2^+$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 369.1830, found 369.1836.

# ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **S** Supporting Information

General methods, reaction optimization, kinetic studies and characterization data, including NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

# ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: jerome.waser@epfl.ch.

#### **Notes**

The auth[ors declare no compe](mailto:jerome.waser@epfl.ch)ting financial interest.

# ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

EPFL, F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Ltd., and SNF (Grant No. 200021\_119810) are acknowledged for financial support.

# ■ REFERENCES

(1) Crimmins, M. T.; She, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12790.

(2) (a) Shibano, M.; Tsukamoto, D.; Kusano, G. Heterocycles 2002, 57, 1539. (b) Trost, B. M.; Horne, D. B.; Woltering, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5987.

(3) (a) Johnson, J. H.; Phillipson, D. W.; Kahle, A. D. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 1184. (b) Deng, W.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11241.

(4) For reviews, see: (a) Tietze, L. F. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 115. (b) Zeni, G.; Larock, R. C. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2285. (c) Beller, M.; Seayad, J.; Tillack, A.; Jiao, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3368. (d) Nakamura, I.; Yamamoto, Y. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 2127. (e) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Martinelli, M.; Sottocornola, S. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5318. (f) Muniz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9412. (g) Beccalli, E. M.; Broggini, G.; Fasana, A.; Rigamonti, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 277.

(5) Heterocyclization using Pd(II)/Pd(IV)-catalysis with formation of an exocyclic C−O bond: (a) Li, Y.; Song, D.; Dong, V. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2962. (b) Jensen, K. H.; Webb, J. D.; Sigman, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 17471. With formation of an exocyclic C−N bond: (c) Streuff, J.; Hovelmann, C. H.; Nieger, M.; Muniz, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14586. (d) Muniz, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14542. (e) Muniz, K.; Hovelmann, C. H.; Streuff, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 763. (f) Desai, L. V.; Sanford, M. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5737. With formation of an exocyclic C−C bond: (g) Sibbald, P. A.; Rosewall, C. F.; Swartz, R. D.; Michael, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 15945.

(6) Heterocyclization using  $Pd(0)/Pd(II)$ -catalysis. Heterocarbonylation reactions: (a) Hegedus, L. S.; Allen, G. F.; Olsen, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3583. (b) Semmelhack, M. F.; Zhang, N. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 4483. (c) Cernak, T. A.; Lambert, T. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3124. Heteroarylation and heterovinylation reactions: (d) Semmelhack, M. F.; Epa, W. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7205. (e) Lira, R.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13906. (f) Ney, J. E.; Wolfe, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 3605. (g) Wolfe, J. P.; Rossi, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 1620. (h) Hay, M. B.; Hardin, A. R.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3099. (i) Ney, J. E.; Hay, M. B.; Yang, Q. F.; Wolfe, J. P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 1614. (j) Neukom, J. D.; Perch, N. S.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6276. (k) Mai, D. N.; Wolfe, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 12157. (l) Babij, N. R.; Wolfe, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4128.

(7) (a) Seregin, I. V.; Ryabova, V.; Gevorgyan, V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7742. (b) Gu, Y.; Wang, X. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 763. (c) Tobisu, M.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3250. (d) Ano, Y.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2011, 14, 354. (e) Ano, Y.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12984. (f) Ziadi, A.; Correa, A.; Martin, R. Chem. Commun. 2013, DOI: 10.1039/C2CC37281A. (g) Tolnai, G. L.; Ganss, S.; Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. 2013, 16, 112. For reviews on electrophilic alkynylation, see: (h) Dudnik, A. S.; Gevorgyan, V. Angew. Chem., Int., Ed. 2010, 49, 2096. (i) Messaoudi, S.; Brion, J. D.; Alami, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 6495. (j) Brand, J. P.; Waser, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 4165.

(8) Nicolai, S.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 6324.

 $(9)$  (a) Nicolai, S.; Erard, S.; Fernández González, D.; Waser, J. Org. Lett. 2009, 12, 384. (b) Nicolai, S.; Piemontesi, C.; Waser, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4680.

(10) Fraser, A. W.; Besaw, J. E.; Hull, L. E.; Baird, M. C. Organometallics 2012, 31, 2470.

(11) See Table S3 in the Supporting Information for a full list of tested enone ligands.

(12) (a) Kranenburg, M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 1998, 155. (b) Dierkes, P.; W. N. M. van Leeuwen, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 1519. (c) van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; Reek, J. N. H.; Dierkes, P. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 2741. (d) Birkholz, M.-N.; Freixa, Z.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 1099.

(13) See Supporting Information for a list of tested additives.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>(14) On larger scale, reoptimization of the catalyst and ligand loadings led to the use of 5 mol %  $Pd(dba)_2$  and 7.5 mol % DPEPHos. See Supporting Information for more details.

(15) (a) Mu, F.; Lee, D. J.; Pryor, D. E.; Hamel, E.; Cushman, M. J. Med. Chem. 2002, 45, 4774. The halogenoalkynes used in these stud[ies](#page-17-0) [were](#page-17-0) [synthesized](#page-17-0) [u](#page-17-0)sing known procedures: (b) Cossy, J.; Tresnard, L.; Pardo, D. G. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 1925. (c) Trost, B. M.; Fandrick, D. R.; Dinh, D. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 14186. (d) Buzas, A.; Gagosz, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 12614. (e) Gramlich, P. M. E.; Warncke, S.; Gierlich, J.; Carell, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3442. (f) Sui, B.; Yeh, E. A. H.; Curran, D. P. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2942. (g) Schmidt, R. G.; Bayburt, E. K.; Latshaw, S. P.; Koenig, J. R.; Daanen, J. F.; McDonald, H. A.; Bianchi, B. R.; Zhong, C.; Joshi, S.; Honore, P.; Marsh, K. C.; Lee, C.-H.; Faltynek, C. R.; Gomtsyan, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2011, 21, 1338. (h) Nishimura, T.; Nagaosa, M.; Hayashi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 2185.

(16) Alcohols 5b and 5c were synthesized using known procedures: (a) Janza, B.; Studer, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 6991. (b) Habel, A.; Boland, W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 16, 1601.

(17) The protected amines used in this study were synthesized using known procedures: see ref 6f. (a) Schomaker, J. M.; Travis, B. R.; Borhan, B. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3089. (b) Dolman, S. J.; Schrock, R. R.; Hoveyda, A. H. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 4899. (c) Ma, S.; Ni, B. Chem. Eur. J. 2004, 10, 3286. (d) [Mic](#page-17-0)hael, F. E.; Cochran, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4246. (e) Gribkov, D. V.; Hultzsch, K. C.; Hampel, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3748. (f) Bertrand, M. B.; Leathen, M. L.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 457. (g) Lemiere, G.; Gandon, V.; ̀ Cariou, K.; Hours, A.; Fukuyama, T.; Dhimane, A.-L.; Fensterbank, L.; Malacria, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2993. (h) Gresser, M. J.; Wales, S. M.; Keller, P. A. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 6965. (i) Li, X.; Li, C.; Zhang, W.; Lu, X.; Han, S.; Hong, R. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1696.

(18) For an example of one-pot metathesis-hydrogenation, see: Fürstner, A.; Leitner, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 308. The formation of the alkane product was not observed in the absence of Pd/C, which indicated that the heterogenous catalyst was required for hydrogenation.

(19) (a) Liu, Q.; Burton, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 437. (b) Damle, S. V.; Seomoon, D.; Lee, P. H. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7085. An alternative mechanism would be insertion of II onto the triple bond of 6h followed by β-bromide elimination. Overall, this process is a reductive coupling leading to  $Pd(II)$ . The active  $Pd(0)$ catalyst would be most probably regenerated after one of the  $\beta$ -hydride elimination proposed in the catalytic cycle.

(20) A similar explanation has also been proposed in the case of oxyarylation, see ref 6h.

(21) Another possibility for the formation of 14 would be direct Wacker-like oxidation of the olefin. However, as special care has been taken to exclude air [and](#page-17-0) moisture during the reaction, this mechanism appears less probable.

(22) Crude analysis of the initial rate of the reactions would give reaction orders of 0.6, 0.6 and 1.4 for alcohol 5b, alkynyl bromide 6h and  $Pd(dba)<sub>2</sub>$ , respectively. However, the many side reactions and the catalyst deactivation occurring during oxyalkynylation limit the significance of these results.

(23) Bertrand, M. B.; Wolfe, J. P. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 6447.

(24) Basel, Y.; Hassner, A. Synthesis 2001, 550.

(25) Legeay, J. C.; Lewis, W.; Stockman, R. A. Chem. Commun. 2009, 2207.

(26) Curran, D. P.; Liu, H. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 1377.

(27) Wang, L.; Thai, K.; Gravel, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 891.

(28) Rubin, Y.; Lin, S. S.; Knobler, C. B.; Anthony, J.; Boldi, A. M.; Diederich, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 6943.

(29) Yamagishi, M.; Nishigai, K.; Hata, T.; Urabe, H. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4873.

(30) Salvi, L.; Jeon, S.-J.; Fisher, E. L.; Carroll, P. J.; Walsh, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 16119.

(31) The peaks at 18.1 and 18.0 ppm correspond to the rotamers of the TIPS protecting group.

(32) The trans-stereochemistry of the tetrahydrofuran products and the cis-stereochemistry of the pyrrolidine products had been assigned in our previous work with silyl acetylenes.<sup>8</sup> The stereochemistry in this work was deduced by analogy and comparison of the NMR spectra.

(33) Diastereoisomeric ratio calculated [b](#page-17-0)y integration of peaks at  $\delta$ 4.14 (Major diastereoisomer) and  $\delta$  4.00 (Minor diastereoisomer).

(34) Diastereoisomeric ratio calculated by integration of peaks at  $\delta$ 3.36 (Major diastereoisomer) and  $\delta$  3.56 (Minor diastereoisomer).

(35) Diastereoisomeric ratio calculated by integration of peaks at  $\delta$ 

3.13 (Major diastereoisomer) and  $\delta$  3.31 (Minor diastereoisomer).

(36) Diastereoisomeric ratio calculated by integration of peaks at  $\delta$ 3.14 (Major diastereoisomer) and  $\delta$  3.31 (Minor diastereoisomer). Full assignment based on COSY.

(37) Diastereoisomeric ratio calculated by integration of peaks at  $\delta$ 3.05 (Major diastereoisomer) and  $\delta$  2.97 (Minor diastereoisomer).

(38) Diastereoisomeric ratio calculated by integration of peaks at  $\delta$ 3.20 (Major diastereoisomer) and  $\delta$  3.07 (Minor diastereoisomer).

(39) Mccormick, J. B.; Jaynes, E. N.; Kaplan, R. I.; Clark, H. C.; Ruddick, J. D. In Inorganic Synthesis; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, 2007; p 216.

(40) Hegedus, L. S; McKearin, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2444.

(41) Hok, S.; Schore, N. E. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 1736.

(42) Hattori, K.; Sajiki, H.; Hirota, K. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 4817. (43) Jiang, M. X.-W.; Rawat, M.; Wulff, W. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 5970.